



10850 East Woodmen Road • Falcon, CO 80831
Tel: 719-495-1100 • Fax: 719-494-8900

Mission Statement

To prepare students, in a safe and caring environment, to be successful, competent and productive citizens in a global society.

MINUTES
SPECIAL BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING
July 22, 2014
6:30 P.M.
Central Administration – Board Room

1.00 Call to Order and Roll Call

A special meeting of the Falcon Board of Education was called to order at 6:34 p.m. by the President, Tammy Harold. The following board members were present: Mr. Butcher, Ms. Harold, Mr. Irons, Mrs. LaVere-Wright and Mr. Moore.

2.00 Welcome and Pledge of Allegiance

President Harold welcomed those in attendance and invited them to join the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3.00 Approval of Agenda

It was moved by LaVere-Wright, seconded by Irons to approve the agenda as presented. *The motion was put and carried with Butcher, aye; Harold, aye; Irons, aye; LaVere-Wright, aye; Moore, aye. Motion carried 5-0.*

4.00 Consent Agenda – see attachments

4.01 Approval of Minutes of Regular Board of Education Meeting 7-10-2014

5.00 Board Update

5.01 Senator Cadman Gaming Initiatives

Cadman: I'm here to share information about an initiative which has now passed the signature phase. Initiative 135 is an effort to expand gambling in Colorado via a constitutional amendment. The reason I'm here before you is because it's under the premise of adding money to K-12 which I think most of you, if not all of you, are interested in. In Colorado state senate I represent senate district 12 in El Paso County, which in my former district included this, but it stops at Woodmen Road so I have everything south of here. My kids went to D49 schools. I am working for a campaign to defeat this initiative and while I am being compensated for time, my opposition to this expanded gaming in Colorado is fairly consistent with over 14 years and thousands of votes in the general assembly. If 135 is adopted by the voters of Colorado, it would allow the out-of-state company a constitutionally sanctioned exclusive gambling presence along the Front Range, frankly to the detriment of the current gaming communities and our historic gaming communities in Colorado, the towns. It would also be detrimental to the statewide programs, the countless programs that the revenues generated by these gaming communities have supported in all 64 counties across Colorado.



For two decades we have benefitted everywhere from the tax revenues of these gaming communities. I'll highlight the detrimental issues and leave you with some information. First of all, unlike the current gaming towns, this initiative would prevent voters from having a say whether they want gambling or not in their communities. Initiative 135 also promises an out-of-state company, a Rhode Island gaming interest, that they can have at least 2500 slot machines, that's in addition to the table games, in their Front Range casino making it nearly twice as large as any existing Colorado casino in the gaming communities. They defy common sense, not to mention the laws of mathematics by promising to generate more gaming tax revenues with their proposed 2,500 gambling devices than is raised by the 14,600+ devices currently in use in Colorado.

This initiative would grant this out-of-state company an actual exemption to our constitution. I think this is a really important issue. In Article 5, section 25, it prohibits legislature from granting any special dispensation, right or privileges to any individual or entity. That's to prevent us from showing favoritism for someone or discriminating against someone. With this provision in Colorado's constitution, it would be granting this company an exclusive right to expand gambling in the Front Range. Currently that would include areas in Aurora and Pueblo in addition to the front range Mesa County.

What impacts us locally as well is that there is no requirement that these operators pay their own way when it comes to impact in the local community. So when you think about what Colorado or what El Paso County has received in gaming revenues, it's been about \$3.5 million, not including the historical preservation money. So that's a direct impact locally under this initiative. Obviously no one is going to drive to Cripple Creek or Black Hawk to do what they can do closer. So their whole revenue stream would be outside of the current infrastructure. There's no requirement in there that they provide an impact fee. The only requirement in the language of the initiative is that they negotiate with the local communities. Well I know you have at least one or two attorneys here. Negotiating is a pretty big term. What it really means if you look at it is that they have the ability to litigate to defend themselves against impact fees continuing to be discussed. Any threat to the gaming institutions is significant, not just the impact that I mentioned but also the Colorado Preservation. Anybody that's been a part of the Colorado historic preservation understands that there are a lot of bonds that are being paid for by limited gaming revenues that would now be threatened if those communities are threatened.

The reason that this initiative is important to you as a school board is that it is being promoted under the guise of promising more K-12 funding. Frankly, it is bait. It is bait for support of school districts. Even their campaign name, Coloradans for Better Schools, I think is part of a deceptive program promotion. It offers false hope to K-12 under the pretense of increased funding for all. I think even having it, should something like this pass, it might impair the ability of local schools to make their case in their own communities for raising their own fees from bonds and mill levies. I understand that you're probably looking at something like that right now. Some people think that marijuana has solved the K-12 problem. It hasn't and you probably know that. Are you sitting on an extra hundred million in your district? No, it's not happening.

There are significant issues and I will leave you with the information. I would request that the board review this. We are asking that you consider supporting a resolution in opposition to this. The reason we are doing this is that part of their campaign strategy is to solicit the support of board

members of school boards as well as boards themselves in support of it. I don't believe to date that they have any. But this campaign is early. They just turned in their signatures last week so there's a curing period. Once they complete that, obviously the campaign will be earnestly seeking the support with this false promise of more money. We have one school board that has adopted a resolution in opposition to this and our neighbor school board Harrison is looking at it as well and is considering adopting a resolution. If you choose not to pass that, I would at least ask that you do not support them should they come through our backyard asking for you to support this proposal. I'd be glad to answer any questions.

Irons: It looks like they're dangling the carrot in front of us. How big is the carrot?

Cadman: It's interesting. There are two numbers in there. They say upon passing they'll just write a check for \$25 million. I didn't actually do the math but out of \$5 billion, it's a pretty small carrot. I think it's just the green part. The other issue that they have proposed is an additional \$100 million directly into school funds but there's no provision in there that directs it. Anybody who's been part of this process for years understands how the pie gets divvied up. If the legislature decides that the pie is this big and somebody provides a slice of it, the legislature shrinks the amount of its pie around that. I know it doesn't sound fair, but that's the reality we live in. So I don't think there would ever technically be extra money, although the number that they have floated is a \$100 million, but if you do that out of the \$5 billion, it's only a little bit more of the green part, right? When it comes to the per pupil funding, it's infinitesimal. They're basing that on if they maximize the size of their casinos but there's nothing that requires them to actually have the casinos of the size that they're promoting now in the resolution. There are a lot of incredible vagaries. If you look at their spending, they spend a lot of money on legal counsel I think to make this as specifically unclear as they could.

Irons: I know what side of the aisle you are on. Is this being supported by the other side of the aisle?

Cadman: This is not a partisan issue. This did not come through the legislative process. I know there've been attempts in the past. This is very similar, the original holding company of this company years ago ran amendment 33, to put video lottery terminals virtually every place across the Front Range. That was in 2003 or so. It's a similar attempt. It's what they're attempting across the country. Frankly, they're finding market share and trying to move it to themselves. The constitutional provision, because it really guarantees them, is written so tight that it's only for people that currently own properties where races could be held and they own those properties. So somebody asked me at Harrison, so what about the race track here in Colorado Springs, and I said well it's not included because they don't own that.

Moore: So my issue is the constitutional amendment part of it. How easy it is to get something like that to the legislature? It seems that you can try to put anything up there and make it a constitutional amendment. That's problematic to me.

Cadman: It's making many of our eyes open wider in terms of trying to protect constitution and the access to it. Frankly, if any company can drop a couple million dollars and carve out a slice of pie for them, anybody can. Anybody with enough money that pays for enough signatures can then put a provision. This one is so unique. We could never pass something like this under the constitution for a person, an entity, a corporation, or anything.

Moore: A constitutional amendment that's against the constitution.

Cadman: Right, it actually eliminates that provision, as I mentioned Article 5, Section 25, for them.

Butcher: Thank you for coming. Our concern is there is always a lot of confusion about where the money comes for the schools. You're right, there is a strong contingent that believes that the pot industry, which also was a constitutional amendment very similarly done, funds the schools but we don't see any revenues from them.

Cadman: Remember the discussion a couple of weeks after that, we won't know what to do with all of the money. It didn't materialize like that. I think we have some issues after the last gaming initiative and the limits; it didn't really come to the fruition that they expected for community colleges, etc. It's a really easy carrot to dangle and when you say our kids win too. Right, they don't. I'd be happy to leave a copy of the resolution for you also with a handout and website.

Harold: I don't think it's great to fund our schools on pot and gambling. I'm thankful that you came to us and shared this with to us so we can look at it and maybe bring it back at our August meeting. We'll get back with you if we pass it or not.

LaVere-Wright: I have a question not relating to this piece, but that whole part of adding constitutional amendments, it's crazy. I'm not originally from Colorado and it amazes me how easy it is to change the constitution in this state. I look at our national constitution as this living document that's not all that easy to amend. Is there any discussion within the political arena of looking at the process of amending the constitution in our state and how to make it serve like more of a true constitution instead of an end-run to making your own legislation?

Cadman: It's been an interesting debate on how to move forward on maintaining the historic constitutional access that you and I would have if we thought we had a good idea, but the hundred people that represent us disagreed with us. We have a right to start an initiative on our own. Most people don't have the power of self-governing, even though we were "set up like that" to have the kind of ballot access so anybody could put together a good idea and find support. It's something you want to preserve but it's a two-edged sword. It means anybody that has a bad idea and has the money and resources to provide information can move forward. In this case I understand they spent well over a million dollar for 136,000 signatures. I do campaign work and because being in the legislature is just not enough, I've never seen as much money spent by a group to get what they're getting done this year as this group, the millions of dollars in consultants and attorneys to write this stuff and to get this on the ballot. It's a catch-22 right, if we start to reign that opportunity in for someone that wants to take advantage of it. Frankly we're diminishing your individual access which is protected by that very constitution that you would seek to change.

Moore: The constitution is applicable to everyone. That doesn't mean we have access to change it. That doesn't make sense to me. Just because we have a constitution and this is what we're going to base our laws on, does that mean that Joe Blow from Cocomo can come in and with a million dollar, come and change the constitution? That is problematic on its face and that's what I've seen.

Cadman: The good news is that most of them have not passed over the years, but it doesn't mean that it doesn't take an incredible amount of resources and campaigning against a bad idea. Some of them, I hate to pick one from last year that didn't take a whole lot of money against it, but it went down in flames. If you have any idea and you can get the signatures; that's your right. And they did the initiative process because they couldn't get the legislature to go with it. Year after year after year I've seen something similar to this in the last few years.

LaVere-Wright: Congratulate HOSA team. We had 25 students attend the national conference. There were 6,400 competitors from all over the nation and 12 of our students placed in the nation's top 10 in their respective fields from HOSA. Congratulations to all of those students; Emergency Medical Technician: Tyler Rohr and Danielle Snelling; Biomedical Debate, Kristen Chapman, Mark



Estrada, Shane Falzon and Melanie Finley; Parliamentary Procedure, Lisl Davies, Marissa Maikell, Ciara Moore, Kayla Pilcher, Isaiah Rivera and Alize Wilson Ramirez. Congratulations, I think that's a real kudos to our district and the academy's program at Falcon High School. They worked really hard to get that going and I'm really proud of our students and our staff. El Paso County Fair is going on now and there are plenty of our students exhibiting there. Make sure you stop by and see some of the other things that our students do.

Harold: I know that the schools are back in and busy because registration has started for the high schools today. Welcome back everybody on our staff, hope that they had a good summer now that it's only been, feels like six weeks and it's over.

Mr. Bay is at a family reunion. He had today planned for a family event. Since we moved our meeting, he is excused.

5.02 Chief Officer Update

Hilts: Out of 20 Colorado students that were in the top 10, 12 of 20 were from FHS. So 60% of finalist from the entire state came from our program which is a pretty good definition of the best program in the state. It was really exciting and it's great to honor those students. Two other honors came our way. There is nothing that we could do to earn these, but we still recognize them. On Thursday, a major report, the 2nd generation, 2nd year annual report about online and blended learning in Colorado featured three districts with an emphasis on district's that had done system-wide initiatives to promote blended and on-line learning. So along with St. Vrain and a district in Greeley, we were featured in particular for the integration of what we started at FVA, what we expanded with the iConnect services so that we're offering Aventa or now Fuel Ed curriculum at all of our school and extending that now into the CD BOCES. We just got very positive and really detailed coverage about the way that that's now bubbled up into our professional development through the Aha Network and it's coming out into our forms of education that are not, they're neighborhood schools, but that incorporating blending models. The next day a report came out. If you're at all in tuned to the political spectrum, you're aware that the Independence Institute is a right of center organization and the Center for American Progress founded by John Podesta is a left of center organization. So it was really cool that the Independence Institute promoted a national report on district productivity that identified three districts in Colorado, Falcon District, Douglas County and Eaton District as the only three districts to receive the top rating for fiscal productivity. So they looked at return on investment, the balance of results compared with dollars spent. And we were one of three that got the very highest rating. That's a really big deal, particularly given the diverse political spectrum that is pointing to this district. I give great honor and respect to Brett and his team, but really it goes back 4 or 5 years to the commitment and dedication to live within our means and to push more of our resources to our schools. It seemed a shame to miss the moment and not feature those two results.

Harold: Congratulations Brett.

Ridgway: Thank you, that is pretty exciting. I just wanted to follow up on Senator Cadman's visit, and I appreciate him getting out in front of this issue this time. I doubt we will see a dollar out of recreational marijuana revenue in this district. Don't be fooled by that bait.

6.00 Open Forum – Topics must be related to items on the agenda during a Board of Education Special Meeting.



7.00 Action Items – see attachments

- 7.01 Action on Charter School Application
- 7.02 Approval of CEO Annual Evaluation Metrics
- 7.03 Approval of CD BOCES Administrative Representative
- 7.04 Approval of Items Removed from Consent Agenda

8.00 Discussion Items – see attachments


- 8.01 IT Technology Update (15 minutes)
- 8.02 Choice Enrollment Analysis (15 minutes)
- 8.03 School Finance Campaign Ballot Language (30 minutes)
 - 8.03a Ballot Issue 3A
- 8.04 Board of Education Resolutions for CASB (15 minutes)
- 8.05 Job Descriptions
 - 8.05a Revised Position Description (10 minutes)
- 8.06 Policy and Procedure Review
 - 8.06a District Initiated Updates (10 minutes)
- 8.07 Monthly Financial Report (15 minutes)

9.00 Other Business

10.00 Adjournment

It was moved by Moore and seconded by Butcher to adjourn at 10:01 p.m. *The motion was put and carried with Butcher, aye; Harold, aye; Irons, aye; LaVere-Wright, aye; Moore, aye. Motion carried 5-0.*

Respectfully submitted by Donna Richer



Tammy Harold, President



Marie LaVere-Wright, Secretary

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 4.01 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Donna Richer, Executive Assistant
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Approval of Minutes of Regular Board Meeting 7/10/2014
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Consent Agenda

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: Board approval required prior to posting minutes.

RATIONALE: Board of Education shall review minutes of meetings to ensure accuracy.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Minutes of the meetings will be posted on the district website after approval.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer <u>investment</u>	<u>Major impact</u>
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community participation</u>	<u>Major impact</u>
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	<u>Major impact</u>
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	<u>Major impact</u>
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward <u>success</u>	<u>Major impact</u>

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to approve the consent agenda.

APPROVED BY: Marie LaVere-Wright, Board Secretary

DATE: July 15, 2014

LaVere-Wright made the motion; seconded by Irons. The motion passed 5-0.

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
VOTED AYE	X	X	X	X	X
VOTED NAY					
COMMENTED					

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY		
COMMENTED					

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 5.01 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Donna Richer, Executive Assistant to the BOE
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Senator Cadman-Gaming Initiative
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Information

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: Senator Cadman will provide information about current gaming initiatives that may appear on the November ballot.

RATIONALE: To provide timely information to the board.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED:

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: None

APPROVED BY: Kevin Butcher, Treasurer, Board of Education

DATE: July 17, 2014

Move this item to next meeting for discussion/resolution.

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
COMMENTED	X	X	X	X	X

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY	CADMAN	
COMMENTED				X	

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 5.02 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Chief Officers
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Chief Officer Update
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Information

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The chief officers will provide an update to the board on district activity in their respective areas.

RATIONALE: To provide timely information to the board.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	Major Impact
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	Major Impact
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Major Impact
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	Major Impact
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	Major Impact

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED:

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED:

APPROVED BY: Jack Bay, COO, Peter Hilts, CEO, Brett Ridgway, CBO **DATE:** July 17, 2014

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
COMMENTED					

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY	SCHWANK	
COMMENTED		X	X		

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.01 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Kim McClelland, iConnect Zone Leader
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Charter School Application
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The Charter Schools Act (C.R.S. 22-30.5-110) outlines specific requirements for school districts to receive and consider charter school applications. Trail Ridge Academy (TRA) has submitted a charter school application by the district's deadline and was evaluated by district staff, the DAAC, and external experts. The district used the online CHART (Charter school Application Review Tool) system for staff and expert evaluations of the charter school applications. At a status conference between parties, the Applicant was asked to provide additional supporting materials. The statutory process for charter school applications includes the requirement for the Board of Education to hold two public hearings. The second hearing was held on July 10. The board will be asked to make a decision on the charter school application at its July 22 meeting by voting on a resolution either approving or denying the charter school application.

RATIONALE: This is the first charter school application received under the district's new spring timeline, approved by the State Board of Education through a waiver request. Colorado law requires a transparent and extensive process related to the reception, review and disposition of new charter school applications. This action item is brought forward at this time in order to meet statutory timelines as adjusted by mutual written consent.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: The applicants provided this application in response to a District-developed Request for Proposal ("RFP"). The application complies with the RFP and with statutory requirements. However, further enhancements and additions will ensure that the proposed school is educationally exceptional and financially sound. Staff recommends that the application be approved provisionally and conditionally as set forth in the attached Resolution.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	Our charter process builds trust through fairness and transparency and meets the NACSA principles and standards.
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	The design for the TRA high school application was developed by the charter community and is meeting an intentional need for their unique school communities.
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Because we are the best District to learn, work and lead, our charter community will want to continue to focus their educational experiences in our district through Trail Ridge Academy.
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	Trail Ridge Academy will add to our distinct and exceptional schools. TRA will add high quality and superior options for our entire district.
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	Trail Ridge Academy is focused on launching every student to success through the alternative pathways to learning with blended learning, concurrent enrollment, and character education.

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED:

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move to approve the attached resolution.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, CEO

DATE: July 16, 2014

BOE Special Meeting July 22, 2014
Item 7.01 continued

LaVere-Wright made the motion; seconded by Irons. The motion was withdrawn. LaVere-Wright moved to approve the revised resolution which she proceeded to read. (The revised resolution is attached.) The motion passed 4-1.

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
VOTED AYE	X	X		X	X
VOTED NAY			X		
COMMENTED	X	X	X	X	X

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY	MILLER	
COMMENTED		X		X	

RESOLUTION

Provisionally Approving a Charter to Trail Ridge Academy

WHEREAS, the Board of Education ("Board") caused to be distributed a Request for Proposals ("RFP") for a charter school application serving students in grades 9-12; and

WHEREAS, constituents have consistently sought charter high school opportunities for students and families and the Board enthusiastically supports efforts to meet the needs of all students, and the RFP specified certain preferences related to applicants and intended populations to be served; and

WHEREAS, on April 30, 2014, a founding committee comprised of representatives of the charter schools contained within the borders of Falcon School District 49 ("School District") submitted a complete application for Trail Ridge Academy ("TRA") which explicitly met the requirements of the RFP; and

WHEREAS, the make-up, credentials and collaborative efforts of the founding committee are warmly endorsed by the Board, and the Board wishes to expressly thank the founding committee and to work with the committee to launch a successful charter high school; and

WHEREAS, following the review of application materials and initial interviews, and based on presentations by TRA and District administrators, the Board considers the charter school application complete, but needing certain enhancements and supplementation; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that a provisional approval of the TRA Charter School Application, is in the best interests of the District, its students and the community.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board that TRA's Charter School Application is provisionally approved for a term of five (5) years beginning July, 2016, subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. That a contract acceptable to the Board and TRA be negotiated and executed no later than ninety days after the final approval of this Application, unless the Board and TRA jointly waive the time line pursuant to C.R.S. § 22-30.5-106(2). By provisionally approving the charter application, the Board is not specifically approving or accepting any separate element or provision of the application pending approval of the contract.

2. On or before 12:00 p.m. on January 30, 2015, TRA shall submit the following for review and recommendation:

- a. An enhanced description and plan for an educational program, acceptable to the School District, that is well-focused, addresses specific issues raised by the Board during public hearings, provides more specificity about programs and classes, and contains a clarified mission and vision that flow from the enhanced description.

- b. A balanced budget, acceptable to the School District, that satisfies applicable legal requirements and public entity accounting principles; and that properly accounts for the educational programs, start-up costs, site costs, capital expenses, operational costs, employee compensation and benefits, materials, equipment and purchased services that have been represented in the charter school application.
- c. A detailed written description of governance and parental involvement, which shall include an explanation of the process of transition from the applicant team to the initial governing board and a description of parental involvement in school governance, as well as Articles of Incorporation properly filed with the Colorado Secretary of State.
- d. An enrollment plan, acceptable to the School District, that includes only those preferences allowed by federal and state grant policies, such as children of founders, children of staff members, and siblings of enrolled students. The remaining enrollment shall be selected through a lottery in two rounds: 1st - all current residents and students of the School District shall be eligible for selection in round 1; 2nd - all residents of Colorado not eligible or selected in round 1 shall be eligible in round 2.
- e. A plan to provide facilities, acceptable to the School District, which shall account for student safety and access, and which meets all relevant codes, regulations and requirements for an environment conducive to student success.

3. In the event the Board determines that any of the foregoing conditions have not been fully satisfied, the application shall be deemed denied by the Board on the date of such determination. In no event shall the Board action described in this paragraph be construed as a revocation of a charter or a charter school contract.

4. Upon request from TRA, the Board or its designee may extend the timeline to comply with condition 2 until March 30, 2015, and postpone a decision on TRA's charter application until its regular meeting in May 2015.

Adopted this 22nd day of July, 2014.

FALCON SCHOOL DISTRICT 49

By: _____
Tammy Harold
President, Board of Education

Attest:

By: _____

Marie Laverre-Wright
Secretary, Board of Education

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.02 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Peter Hilts
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Chief Education Officer Annual Evaluation Metrics
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED:

The Board of Education and the Chief Officers have been developing a process to efficiently evaluate their performance on an annual basis. Over the past several months, each of the Chief's has been working with a liaison from the board to develop a preliminary set of metric for the performance review.

RATIONALE:

In order to establish an annual performance review process the Chief Education Officer has prepared a metric to evaluate his performance with his Board Liaison.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	The Chief Education Officer can make a significant impact on the community though his involvement and interaction with community stakeholders and professional groups that can leave a positive and lasting impact.
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	By providing key performance metrics, benchmarking performance and continually reviewing performance of its personnel including executive leadership on an annual basis, the district will accomplish this Big Rock.
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED:

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move to affirm the attached Chief Education Officer performance evaluation metrics.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, Chief Education Officer

DATE: July 15, 2014

LaVere-Wright made the motion; seconded by Irons. The motion passed 5-0. Andersen to revise policy CBI and present for discussion at August work session.

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
VOTED AYE	X	X	X	X	X
VOTED NAY					
COMMENTED		X	X	X	X

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY	ANDERSEN	
COMMENTED		X		X	

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.03 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Approval of CD BOCES Administrative Representative
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The Colorado Digital Board of Cooperative Education Services (CDBOCES) was formed in May 2013 as a venture between District 49 and Yuma School District 1; to support online and blended education. The CDBOCES is a separate legal entity and is governed by a six person board, two persons that represent D49, two from Yuma 1, one from secondary education, and one at-large community member.

Both Yuma 1 and D49 use for their two positions, one person from their respective Boards of Education and one person from their District Administrative team. Peter Hiltz has served as the D49 Admin team board member since he came to the district last year. Kim McClelland has served as the Executive Director of the CDBOCES but in the 2014-15 school year, she will not serve in that capacity. However, the work she has done to this point with the CDBOCES, as well as her work on state-level commissions for online education, has created a strong association, especially from an outside perspective, between herself and the CDBOCES. We feel it is appropriate to continue that association going forward and so propose that she represent the D49 Administrative team on the CDBOCES Board of Directors.

RATIONALE: Strong continuity of presence is important for any organization, but especially for new entities such as the CDBOCES. With Kim McClelland preparing to serve on another state-level commission related to online education in 2014-15, the CDBOCES desires to maintain a formal relationship with Kim and D49 recognizes the benefits to both CDBOCES and D49 to facilitate that.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: We believe Kim McClelland will continue her great work for online and blended education for both D49 and the CDBOCES, and that Peter Hiltz will still be available to the CDBOCES as well when situations arise that he can assist with.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	<i>Clarity and transparency in District Administration's support to partner organizations.</i>
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	<i>The CDBOCES and its contract schools, while not D49 entities, still provide an additional opportunity for D49 students and families as an affiliated entity.</i>
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	.

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to approve Kim McClelland as the D49 Administration team representative on the CDBOCES Board of Directors as requested by the Administration.

APPROVED BY: Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer

DATE: July 16, 2014

BOE Special Meeting July 22, 2014
Item 7.03 continued

LaVere-Wright made the motion; seconded by Irons. The motion passed 5-0.

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
VOTED AYE	X	X	X	X	X
VOTED NAY					
COMMENTED					

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY		
COMMENTED					



BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 8.01 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Blake Schwank, President, Colorado Computer Support
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	IT Technology Update
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Information

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: In July, 2011, the board voted to outsource IT support to Colorado Computer Support (CCS). Since that time, CCS has improved existing systems, increased overall customer satisfaction and has worked with schools, technology coordinators, procurement and other departments to continually improve technology and ensure appropriate technology is implemented.

RATIONALE: To provide the board with a current status of the District's technology support.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Seek feedback on overall performance and review current and future projects.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	<u>CCS works closely with the procurement team to ensure that technology purchases meet requirements and are cost effective.</u>
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best</u> district in Colorado to learn, work and lead	<u>District 49 cannot be the best district in Colorado without functional and appropriate technology throughout the district.</u>
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	<u>Assisting individual schools to help them utilize and plan technology</u>
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	<u>Working closely with district technology coordinators to ensure the correct technology and support is in place for educational success.</u>

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED:

APPROVED BY: Blake Schwank, President, Colorado Computer Support

DATE: 7/11/14

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
COMMENTED		X			

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY	SCHWANK	
COMMENTED				X	

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 8.02 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Choice Enrollment Impact Analysis
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Discussion

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The Capital Planning Committee (CPC) has been working for nearly two years to identify needs in the district with the understanding that a vast majority of existing D49 facilities are operating over capacity and the likelihood of increased demand in the future. Another aspect routinely discussed in CPC meetings has been the imbalance that D49 experiences in choice enrollment with other school districts. To this point, that imbalance has been somewhat assumed and speculated due to a lack of information at necessary levels of detail from the Colorado Department of Education (CDE).

Therefore, additional data sets were requested from CDE, analyzed, and prepared for presentation.

RATIONALE: A basic statutory requirement for school districts laid out in CRS Title 22 (22-1-102), which says that schools “. . . shall be open for . . . all children . . . residing in the district . . .”. With a majority of schools currently operating over capacity, and a large number of students that choice out of the district, the question of whether D49 is adequately meeting the demand for public education for residents within its borders is a valid question. How to address the situation, once it is proven to exist, is the task of the CPC, District Administration, and the Board of Education.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: District 49 does lose a significant number of students due to choice enrollment and the CPC and District Administration believes that additional student capacity, paired with additional and/or improved educational programs will help address this issue and improve D49's imbalance with choice enrollment.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	<i>Clarity and transparency in identification of and information about district issues.</i>
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	<i>The Capital Planning Committee is composed of fifty percent community members and invites any community member to attend.</i>
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best</u> district in Colorado to learn, work and lead	<i>With the bond we will provide additional educational facilities to house more portfolio schools.</i>
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	<i>With the bond we will provide additional educational facilities to house more portfolio schools.</i>
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	<i>With new spaces the district has the opportunity to provide educational systems that will launch students towards success.</i>

FUNDING REQUIRED: \$125 million

AMOUNT BUDGETED: TBD

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: We recommend drafting bond election language that would support the project list presented and related financing requirement.

APPROVED BY: Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer

DATE: July 16, 2014

BOE Special Meeting July 22, 2014
Item 8.02 continued

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
COMMENTED		X	X	X	X

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY		
COMMENTED		X	X		

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 8.03 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Melissa Andrews
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	School Finance Campaign Details
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Discussion

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The Capital Planning Committee presented three options to the Board on May 8, 2014, and the Board directed the Committee to take the first two options and work with the consultants in getting the costs solidified.

RATIONALE: In order to be good stewards of the taxpayers' monies, we need to be able to complete the projects that are included in the bond package.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: The Planning Department and the Capital Planning Committee have verified that the costs are accurate and compressed the overall bonding packages.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	By verifying costs and insuring we are being good stewards of the taxpayers' monies and therefore reestablishing trust.
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	The Capital Planning Committee is composed of fifty percent community members and invites any community member to attend.
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best</u> district in Colorado to learn, work and lead	With the bond we will provide additional educational facilities to house more portfolio schools.
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	With the bond we will provide additional educational facilities to house more portfolio schools.
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	With new spaces the district has the opportunity to provide educational systems that will launch students towards success.

FUNDING REQUIRED: \$130 to 134 million

AMOUNT BUDGETED: TBD

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED:

APPROVED BY: Brett Ridgway, CBO

DATE: July 18, 2014

Move this item for action at the August 14, 2014 board meeting.

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
COMMENTED	X	X	X	X	X

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY	BELL	CRAWFORD
COMMENTED		X	X	X	X

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 8.04 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Marie LaVere-Wright. Secretary, Board of Education
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	CASB Resolutions
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Discussion

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: CASB's Legislative Resolutions Committee submits resolutions annually as a slate for action by the delegates. The Delegate Assembly takes action on the resolutions and those adopted become what CASB staff will fight for, or against, at the state capitol and throughout the legislative session.

RATIONALE: Local boards are encouraged to submit resolutions to CASB. By submitting resolutions for consideration, the board can take an active role in establishing how CASB will approach crucial education issues that the legislature may take up in the next session and in highlighting those issues on which CASB should proactively lobby for legislative change that will benefit local school boards and the students those boards serve. To be considered for inclusion at the CASB Delegate Assembly, new resolutions must be submitted by August 1st.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Review proposed resolutions to come to consensus about submitting items to CASB.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	Legislative action can help or hinder action at local level due to determination of funding and mandates
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	Legislative action can help or hinder action at local level due to determination of funding and mandates
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Legislative action can help or hinder action at local level due to determination of funding and mandates
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	Legislative action can help or hinder action at local level due to determination of funding, regulatory hurdles, and mandates
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	Legislative action can help or hinder action at local level due to determination of funding, regulatory hurdles, and mandates

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Board members should review proposed resolutions for submission to CASB.

APPROVED BY: Marie LaVere-Wright, BOE

DATE: July 15, 2014

Submit first five resolutions, excluding online education and Director Iron's submission, to CASB.

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
COMMENTED	X	X	X	X	X

BOE Special Meeting July 22, 2014
 Item 8.04 continued

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY		
COMMENTED		X	X		



BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 8.05a MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Paul Andersen, Director of Human Resources
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Proposed Revised Position Description
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Discussion

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: In evaluating the staffing of the Human Resources Department, I propose the modification of an existing position. Specifically, I propose modifying the current Human Resources Specialist – Projects & Reporting position (non-exempt Educational Support) to a Human Resources Generalist position (exempt Professional/Technical). This position’s primary responsibilities will be built around recruiting, staff onboarding, training & communication, and HR process improvement.

RATIONALE: This position will allow for increased emphasis on recruiting strategies, improving staff onboarding, strengthening our employment brand, and pursuing new ways to leverage technology in HR functions.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: The proposed job description is attached for review. The incumbent of the HR Specialist position will be reassigned the Human Resources Generalist position.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT’S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	The creation of this position will support the best district priority by providing increased resources to our recruiting, onboarding, and training efforts.
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: Yes

AMOUNT BUDGETED: \$10,000 increase over current budget

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move this agenda item forward to the August regular board meeting for approval.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, CEO

DATE: July 11, 2014

Move to an action item at the August 14th meeting.

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
COMMENTED					

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY	ANDERSEN	
COMMENTED					

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 8.06a MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Paul Andersen
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Revisions to Board Policy GBEA – Staff Ethics/Conflict of Interest
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Discussion

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: When Policy GBEA as currently written was adopted, it attempted to bring District 49 into a leadership role to implement best practices for reducing both the appearance and the reality of conflicts of interest.

However, as District administration worked through numerous hiring cycles this past year, we have recognized opportunities to improve the conflict of interest policy (GBEA) language related to hiring family members of current employees. We also discovered the need for an associated regulation to eliminate uncertainties about how to implement the policy.

RATIONALE: The proposed policy revisions and new regulation are intended to address these problems. As we consider the proposed new policy language, the chief officers suggest that the policy has four fundamental purposes.

1. To prevent either the appearance or the reality of preferential hiring where a senior member of leadership or any member of one of the sensitive offices including safety, HR and communications, might influence the hiring process in favor of a closely related person.
2. To prevent either the appearance or the reality of preferential treatment within the supervision and evaluation system of the district.
3. To prevent either the appearance or reality of financial oversight by one closely related person over the budgets, expenses, or transactions of the other closely related person.
4. To prevent either the appearance or the reality of any breach of confidential information between two closely related people, where one member might have access to confidential or sensitive information and might inappropriately share that information with the other closely related person.

Policy GBEA can be improved to better serve and protect the District in the area of close relationships and conflict of interest.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: The proposed revisions and new regulation will address some of the limitations of the current policy and guide decisions around conflicts of interest and close relationships.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	This policy will support building trust within our community.
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u>	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	The policy revisions and regulation will support sound hiring practices and ease the current restrictions on our talent pool.

BOE Special Meeting July 22, 2014
Item 8.06a continued

Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED:

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move this agenda item forward to the August regular board meeting for approval.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, CEO; Brett Ridgway, CBO; Jack Bay, COO

DATE: July 11, 2014

Move this item, with revisions, to action at the August 14th board meeting.

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
COMMENTED		X	X	X	X

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY	ANDERSEN	
COMMENTED		X	X	X	

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 8.07 MINUTES

BOARD MEETING OF:	July 22, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	June 2014 Monthly Financial Update
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Discussion

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: Report to the Board of Education the performance of actual revenues and expenditures versus budgeted revenues and expenditures in all funds with comparison to prior year. Reference the first attached spreadsheet: the first column is the list of each fund the District has. The 2013-2014 columns start with the approved budget for the entire year compared to revenues and expenditures through March. Percentages over 100% on a revenue line are good in that we received more revenue than was anticipated. If any of the expenditure lines were higher than the percentage of year concluded, that fund could be an area of possible concern depending upon why it was high.

The 2013-2014 year-end fund balance columns are required by statute. This is showing you the budgeted and anticipated end-of-year fund balance. The 2012-2013 columns are the prior year's total budget and the actual through March 2013. These amounts are provided for comparison to the current year amounts.

RATIONALE: This report is to keep the BOE informed of spending. It is required quarterly by law, however, it is being provided monthly in D49 in keeping with the Board's goal of financial transparency and fiscal responsibility.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: It is expected that the monitoring of expenditures will result in keeping spending in line with the Board's goals and direction as budgeted.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	<i>Clarity and transparency in financial management strategy and decisions.</i>
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: Yes

AMOUNT BUDGETED: 2013/14 = \$87.5mm
(General fund)

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: No specific action necessary beyond recognizing the receipt of these reports and this information.

APPROVED BY: Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer

DATE: June 18, 2014

BOE Special Meeting July 22, 2014
Item 8.07 continued

	BUTCHER	HAROLD	IRONS	LAVERE-WRIGHT	MOORE
COMMENTED				x	

	BAY	HILTS	RIDGWAY		
COMMENTED			X		