



10850 East Woodmen Road • Peyton, CO 80831
Tel: 719.495.1100 • Fax: 719.494.8900

Mission Statement

To prepare students, in a safe and caring environment, to be successful, competent and productive citizens in a global society.

AGENDA
REGULAR BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING
October 9, 2014
6:30 p.m.
Education Services Center – Board Room

Fantastic 49 - 6:00 p.m.

Fall Athletic Season Highlights

Falcon High School – Sand Creek High School – Vista Ridge High School

6:30 p.m.

1.00 Call to Order and Roll Call

2.00 Welcome and Pledge of Allegiance

3.00 Approval of Agenda

4.00 Consent Agenda

4.01 Approval of Matters Relating to Administrative and Professional/Technical Personnel

4.02 Approval of Matters Relating to Licensed Personnel

4.03 Approval of Matters Relating to Educational Support Personnel

4.04 Approval of Minutes of Regular Board of Education Meeting 09/11/2014

4.05 Approval of District Accountability Advisory Committee Appointment

5.00 Board Update

5.01 Chief Officer Update

6.00 Open Forum

7.00 Action Items

7.01 Approval of Accreditation of Schools

7.02 Approval of Official Survey Date for Impact Aid

7.03 Action on Job Description

7.04 Approval of Concurrent Enrollment Policies

7.04a IHCD, Concurrent Enrollment

7.04b IHCD-R-1, Concurrent Enrollment

7.04c IHCD-R-2, ASCENT

7.04d IKCA, Weighted Grading

7.05 Approval of Policy KEA, KEA-R and KEA-E, Stakeholders Grievance

7.06 Approval of Policy DIA, Online Schools and Online Programs

7.07 Action on Board Resolutions in Support of Bond Measure

7.07a Mill Levy

7.07b Bond

REGULAR BOE MEETING October 9, 2014

Page 2

7.08 Items Removed from Consent Agenda

8.00 Information Items (no discussion)

8.01 Student Field Trips

9.00 Discussion Items

9.01 Sand Creek Zone Update - (10 minutes)

10.00 Other Business

10.01 Executive Session: Pursuant to C.R.S. § 24-6-402(4)(f)(I) for discussion of performance of a specific staff member with prior written notification for Chief Operations Officer evaluation and review

11.00 Adjournment

DATE OF POSTING: October 2, 2014

Donna Richer
Executive Assistant to the Board of Education



BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 4.01

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Kim Steeves, Professional Staff Liaison
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Approval of Matters Relating to Administrative and/or Professional-Technical Personnel
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Consent - Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: To gain Board of Education approval for personnel changes

RATIONALE: The hiring and transfer actions on attached roster are to meet Board of Education objectives in student achievement. Retirement and resignations, if any, are including in this roster.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: By addressing these action items, the Board of Education is approving the necessary actions that allow the District to continue its' function of hiring and other associated personnel activities that impact student achievement.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Major Impact
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: Yes

AMOUNT BUDGETED: In accordance with Board of Education approved salary tables.

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to approve the attached personnel changes as recommended by the administration.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, Chief Education Officer;
Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer; Paul Andersen, Personnel Director

DATE: September 26, 2014



BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 4.02

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Kim Steeves, Professional Staff Liaison
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Approval of Matters Relating to Licensed Personnel
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Consent - Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: To gain Board of Education approval for personnel changes

RATIONALE: The hiring and transfer actions on attached roster are to meet Board of Education objectives in student achievement. Retirement and resignations, if any, are including in this roster.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: By addressing these action items, the Board of Education is approving the necessary actions that allow the District to continue its' function of hiring and other associated personnel activities that impact student achievement.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Major impact
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: Yes

AMOUNT BUDGETED: In accordance with Board of Education approved salary tables.

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to approve the attached personnel changes as recommended by the administration.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, Chief Education Officer;
Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer; Paul Andersen, Personnel Director

DATE: September 26, 2014

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 4.03

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Lisa Hines, Educational Support Staff Liaison
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Approval of Matters Relating to Educational Support Personnel
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Consent - Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: To gain Board of Education approval for personnel changes

RATIONALE: The hiring and transfer actions on attached roster are to meet Board of Education objectives in student achievement. Retirement and resignations, if any, are including in this roster.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: By addressing these action items, the Board of Education is approving the necessary actions that allow the District to continue its' function of hiring and other associated personnel activities that impact student achievement.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best</u> <u>district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Major Impact
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: Yes **AMOUNT BUDGETED:** In accordance with Board of Education approved salary tables.

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to approve the attached personnel changes as recommended by the administration.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, Chief Education Officer;
Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer; Paul Andersen, Personnel Director **DATE:** October 2, 2014

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 4.04

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Donna Richer, Executive Assistant
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Approval of Minutes of Regular Board Meeting on 9/11/2014
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Consent Agenda

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: Board approval required prior to posting minutes.

RATIONALE: Board of Education shall review minutes of meetings to ensure accuracy.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Minutes of the meetings will be posted on the district website after approval.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	<u>Major impact</u>
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	<u>Major impact</u>
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	<u>Major impact</u>
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	<u>Major impact</u>
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	<u>Major impact</u>

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to approve the consent agenda.

APPROVED BY: Marie LaVere-Wright, Board Secretary

DATE: September 23, 2014



BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 4.05

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Peter Hiltz, Chief Education Officer
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	District Accountability Advisory Committee Appointment
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Consent - Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The District 49 District Accountability Advisory Committee (DAAC) Bylaws state that the membership of the DAAC will be appointed by or elected through a process created by the Board of Education.

The purpose of the DAAC is to institute an accountability and parental and community Involvement program to define and measure academic and safety quality in the district.

The DAAC must consist of at least three parents of students enrolled in the District's schools that are not employees or related to employees of the district, one teacher, one school administrator, and one person from the community who is involved in business.

Members of the DAAC are appointed to serve for a two year period.

RATIONALE: The enclosed membership application includes the names of two DAAC members for the 2014-2015 school year for your approval. At a minimum they are required to review the District Improvement Plan, charter school applications, recommend the prioritization of expenditures of school district funds, review district assessments and report on the educational and safety performance of the district.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Recommended DAAC members: Erica West-Skyview Middle School and David Rex-Imagine Indigo Ranch. DAAC members have already committed to the responsibilities of their charge through state statute and will report out their accomplishments in June 2015.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	Major Impact
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Major Impact
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A



BOE Regular Meeting October 9, 2014
Item 4.05 continued

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to approve the appointment of the members of the District Accountability Advisory Committee listed as recommended by the administration.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, Chief Education Officer

DATE: October 2, 2014

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 5.01

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Chief Officers
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Chief Officer Update
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Information

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The chief officers will provide an update to the board on district activity in their respective areas.

RATIONALE: To provide timely information to the board.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	Major Impact
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	Major Impact
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Major Impact
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	Major Impact
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	Major Impact

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED:

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED:

APPROVED BY: Jack Bay, COO, Peter Hilts, CEO, Brett Ridgway, CBO

DATE: September 23, 2014



BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.01

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Amber Whetstine, Learning Services
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Accreditation of Schools
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The Colorado Department of Education recently released District Performance Framework and School Performance Framework reports to districts in Colorado. District 49 received a rating of “Accredited” based on seven indicators; Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, Academic Growth Gaps, Post Secondary and Work Force Readiness, Test Participation, Finance and Safety. Each of the District’s schools also receives a rating from the state, indicating a proposed accreditation category and school improvement plan (Unified Improvement Plan) type. Schools are rated based on five performance indicators; Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, Academic Growth Gaps, Post Secondary and Work Force Readiness and Test Participation.

RATIONALE: District 49 will continue to offer accredited schools and use the District and School Performance Frameworks as tools to improve student achievement.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Please see supporting documents attached.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT’S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	By accrediting each of our coordinated, charter, alternative and virtual schools, the Board commits to growing and supporting our portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools.
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move to accredit all District 49 schools as recommended by the administration.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, CEO

DATE: September 26, 2014

2014 Accreditation Summary

	Academic Achievement	Academic Growth	Academic Growth Gaps	Postsecondary Workforce Readiness	Accreditation Rating	Percentage Points
District 49	Meets	Meets	Approaching	Meets	Accredited	70.4
Evans	Approaching	Approaching	Approaching		Improvement	52.7
Falcon Elementary	Approaching	Meets	Approaching		Performance	64.1
Meridian Ranch	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	74
Odyssey	Approaching	Meets	Meets		Performance	64
Remington	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	75.1
Ridgeview	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	70.9
Stetson	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	70.9
Springs Ranch	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	68.1
Woodmen Hills	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	65.4
Falcon	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	64.7
Horizon	Approaching	Meets	Approaching		Performance	64.4
Skyview	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	67
Falcon High	Meets	Meets	Approaching	Meets	Performance	72.4
Sand Creek	Meets	Meets	Approaching	Meets	Performance	71.3
Vista Ridge	Meets	Meets	Approaching	Meets	Performance	71.8
Banning Lewis	Meets	Exceeds	Meets		Performance	79.5
Falcon Virtual Academy	Approaching	Approaching	Approaching	Meets	Performance	66.8
GOAL Academy					Pending AEC Framework	
Imagine Indigo Ranch	Meets	Approaching	Approaching		Performance	60.3
Patriot Learning Center					Pending AEC Framework	
Pikes Peak Expeditionar	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	82.4

Rocky Mt. Classical	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	76.8
---------------------	-------	-------	-------	--	-------------	------

School: BANNING LEWIS RANCH ACADEMY - 0555

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	79.2%	(39.6 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	72.2%	(18.1 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		76.5%	(76.5 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.6%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	253	242	-	495	254	243	-	497
Mathematics	98.8%	100.0%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	251	242	-	493	254	242	-	496
Writing	99.6%	99.6%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	253	242	-	495	254	243	-	497
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	78	89	-	167	78	89	-	167
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	95	81	-	176	95	81	-	176
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

School: BANNING LEWIS RANCH ACADEMY - 0555

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	251	80.48	72
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	249	83.13	78
Writing	3	4		Meets	251	64.94	72
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	163	48	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	161	40	38	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	163	52	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	21	61	29	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	54	62	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	31	54	62	No
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	28	42	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	52	41	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	38	73	No
Writing	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	21	67	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	54	58	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	73	56	58	No
Total	24	36	66.7%	Meets				

School: BANNING LEWIS RANCH ACADEMY - 0555

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	241	82.57	77
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	241	73.03	87
Writing	3	4		Meets	241	71.37	77
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	231	60	23	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	230	59	53	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	231	64	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	32	56	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	89	56	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	39	60	62	No
Mathematics	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	33	55	63	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	88	58	65	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	52	56	90	No
Writing	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	33	47	47	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	89	66	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	65	60	73	No
Total	28	36	77.8%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Exceeds	87.5%	(43.8 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	67.7%	(16.9 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		79.5%	(79.5 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.9%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	772	701	-	1473	775	702	-	1477
Mathematics	99.1%	100.0%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	768	701	-	1469	775	701	-	1476
Writing	99.6%	99.6%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	772	699	-	1471	775	702	-	1477
Science	99.6%	98.6%	-	99.1%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	231	205	-	436	232	208	-	440
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	95	81	-	176	95	81	-	176
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: BANNING LEWIS RANCH ACADEMY - 0555

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	768	82.55	76
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	764	85.08	85
Writing	3	4		Meets	768	63.54	67
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	465	46	23	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	462	45	39	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	466	48	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	73	47	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	137	52	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	37	75	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	77	54	62	No
Mathematics	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	72	38	40	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	136	43	47	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	26	69	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	61	41	73	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	73	51	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	137	49	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	29	49	77	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	175	51	56	No
Total	25	48	52.1%	Approaching				

School: BANNING LEWIS RANCH ACADEMY - 0555

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	696	81.9	80
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	696	70.55	85
Writing	3	4		Meets	694	70.75	78
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	663	62	25	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	662	62	58	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	660	64	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	100	60	30	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	230	62	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	45	68	74	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	128	67	64	Yes
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	101	57	70	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	229	60	68	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	45	63	89	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	187	67	85	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	101	52	47	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	229	65	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	45	59	84	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	208	69	73	No
Total	40	48	83.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7% (10.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	60.7% (21.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.7% (7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	85.9% (30.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		69.1% (69.1 out of 100 points)	

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³ Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴ Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.5%	97.0%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3741	3459	2677	9877	3751	3477	2759	9987
Mathematics	99.6%	99.7%	98.2%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3738	3460	2712	9910	3753	3472	2762	9987
Writing	99.1%	99.5%	96.8%	98.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3719	3460	2672	9851	3752	3477	2759	9988
Science	99.8%	99.7%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1244	1136	-	2380	1246	1139	-	2385
Social Studies	100.0%	99.7%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1297	1199	-	2496	1297	1203	-	2500
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.8%	98.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1215	1215	-	-	1230	1230

District: FALCON 49 - 1110

(1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	3581	75.45	63
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3569	74.19	60
Writing	3	4		Meets	3552	57.35	58
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	2230	48	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2227	42	43	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2211	47	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	184	55	27	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	53	47	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	781	50	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	248	38	68	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	111	49	34	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	500	52	63	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	49	43	50	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	778	41	49	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	250	30	69	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	109	41	51	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	440	45	79	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	53	46	48	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	770	47	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	248	39	73	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	110	49	44	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	911	49	61	No
Total	32	60	53.3%	Approaching				

District: FALCON 49 - 1110

(1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	3308	73.07	63
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3311	55	67
Writing	3	4		Meets	3308	62.36	69
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	3067	49	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3067	44	64	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	3068	53	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	64	59	60	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	61	56	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1154	50	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	344	50	69	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	150	55	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	754	53	65	No
<i>Mathematics</i>	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	62	55	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1154	45	72	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	344	39	95	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	149	42	78	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1182	47	90	No
<i>Writing</i>	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	63	49	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1152	55	50	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	346	44	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	151	53	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1167	52	75	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching				

District: FALCON 49 - 1110
(1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2561	63.53	26
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2593	25.68	32
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2558	44.37	38
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	2268	47	20	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	2310	39	94	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2279	45	54	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	132	39	42	No
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	326	40	70	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	915	47	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	235	43	93	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	158	51	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	806	48	77	No
<i>Mathematics</i>	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	331	29	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	932	36	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	237	46	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	158	32	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	1425	38	99	No
<i>Writing</i>	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	333	37	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	921	45	67	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	235	44	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	158	50	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1089	45	92	No
Total	26	60	43.3%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	1009/931/855/802	89.9/93.7/91/91.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3.75	4	93.8%	Exceeds			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	266/256/192/185	82/90.2/84.9/85.9%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	352/313/278/270	87.8/93.9/88.8/90.7%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	94/76/71/88	64.9/75/77.5/87.5%	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds	33/23/18/21	93.9/100/88.9/81%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	7593	0.6%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	1215	18.5	20.0
Total	13.75	16	85.9%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate
Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83.4	88.9	90.9	91.4
	2011	87	89.8	91	
	2012	89.6	93.7		
	2013	89.9			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	74.5	84	84.4	85.9
	2011	79.8	81.7	84.9	
	2012	82.6	90.2		
	2013	82			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	82.1	87.7	91.1	90.7
	2011	83	87.8	88.8	
	2012	88	93.9		
	2013	87.8			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	67	78.4	83.3	87.5
	2011	70.8	69	77.5	
	2012	64.1	75		
	2013	64.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	76.2	81
	2011	N<16	83.3	88.9	
	2012	78.3	100		
	2013	93.9			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83.4	88.9	90.9	91.4
	2011	87	89.8	91	
	2012	89.6	93.7		
	2013	89.9			
	Aggregated	87.7	90.9	90.9	91.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	74.5	84	84.4	85.9
	2011	79.8	81.7	84.9	
	2012	82.6	90.2		
	2013	82			
	Aggregated	80.3	85.9	84.7	85.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	82.1	87.7	91.1	90.7
	2011	83	87.8	88.8	
	2012	88	93.9		
	2013	87.8			
	Aggregated	85.5	90	89.9	90.7

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	67	78.4	83.3	87.5
	2011	70.8	69	77.5	
	2012	64.1	75		
	2013	64.9			
	Aggregated	66.5	74.5	80.7	87.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	76.2	81
	2011	N<16	83.3	88.9	
	2012	78.3	100		
	2013	93.9			
	Aggregated	81.9	89.1	82.1	81

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7- year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The district earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 80%	Distinction
	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
	• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Accredited	
This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.	
Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%
Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.	

Performance Indicators		Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement		Meets	69.4%	(10.4 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth		Meets	65.5%	(22.9 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps		Approaching	53.9%	(8.1 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Meets	82.8%	(29.0 out of 35 points)
Test Participation ³		Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL			70.4%	(70.4 out of 100 points)
² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.				
³ Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).				
Finance ⁴		Meets Requirements		
Safety ⁴		Meets Requirements		
⁴ Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.				

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.6%	98.0%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10974	10316	6542	27832	11003	10361	6678	28042
Mathematics	99.6%	99.6%	98.7%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10959	10319	6593	27871	11000	10357	6682	28039
Writing	99.5%	99.5%	98.0%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10950	10311	6545	27806	11004	10362	6678	28044
Science	99.7%	99.5%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	3576	3386	-	6962	3586	3402	-	6988
Social Studies	100.0%	99.7%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1297	1199	-	2496	1297	1203	-	2500
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3025	3025	-	-	3064	3064

District: FALCON 49 - 1110

(3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	10475	76.54	68
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	10454	75.58	69
Writing	3	4		Meets	10438	58.33	61
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	6433	47	27	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6429	46	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	6414	48	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	184	55	27	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1145	45	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2226	49	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	668	37	68	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	328	52	39	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1463	51	62	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1141	48	52	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2226	46	50	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	672	36	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	326	50	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1373	50	77	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1144	46	46	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2211	47	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	670	42	74	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	327	54	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2655	50	60	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

District: FALCON 49 - 1110

(3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	9836	74.48	67
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	9840	57.56	74
Writing	3	4		Meets	9833	63.98	73
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	9091	50	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	9100	46	63	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	9082	51	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	64	59	60	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1573	47	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3278	50	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	872	47	73	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	396	55	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2200	52	63	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1571	42	71	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	3279	46	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	875	40	95	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	395	48	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3256	47	90	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1575	44	50	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3270	50	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	874	44	84	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	397	51	58	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3190	52	75	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

District: FALCON 49 - 1110

(3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	6217	69.33	41
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6263	31.18	52
Writing	2	4		Approaching	6221	49.01	49
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	5659	48	16	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5713	41	89	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5677	44	47	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	132	39	42	No
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1070	46	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2187	47	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	474	42	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	290	53	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1737	48	74	No
<i>Mathematics</i>	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	1074	37	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	2214	39	96	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	479	45	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	291	35	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3190	42	99	No
<i>Writing</i>	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1077	41	77	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2195	45	59	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	475	46	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	291	51	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2462	45	91	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	3616/2585/1655/802	87.7/90.9/90.9/91.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3.25	4	81.3%	Meets			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	862/609/378/185	80.3/85.9/84.7/85.9%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	1225/859/547/270	85.5/90/89.9/90.7%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	325/235/161/88	66.5/74.5/80.7/87.5%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets	83/55/39/21	81.9/89.1/82.1/81%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	22334	0.8%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	3025	19	20.1
Total	13.25	16	82.8%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

**This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate
Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83.4	88.9	90.9	91.4
	2011	87	89.8	91	
	2012	89.6	93.7		
	2013	89.9			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	74.5	84	84.4	85.9
	2011	79.8	81.7	84.9	
	2012	82.6	90.2		
	2013	82			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	82.1	87.7	91.1	90.7
	2011	83	87.8	88.8	
	2012	88	93.9		
	2013	87.8			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	67	78.4	83.3	87.5
	2011	70.8	69	77.5	
	2012	64.1	75		
	2013	64.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	76.2	81
	2011	N<16	83.3	88.9	
	2012	78.3	100		
	2013	93.9			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83.4	88.9	90.9	91.4
	2011	87	89.8	91	
	2012	89.6	93.7		
	2013	89.9			
	Aggregated	87.7	90.9	90.9	91.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	74.5	84	84.4	85.9
	2011	79.8	81.7	84.9	
	2012	82.6	90.2		
	2013	82			
	Aggregated	80.3	85.9	84.7	85.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	82.1	87.7	91.1	90.7
	2011	83	87.8	88.8	
	2012	88	93.9		
	2013	87.8			
	Aggregated	85.5	90	89.9	90.7

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	67	78.4	83.3	87.5
	2011	70.8	69	77.5	
	2012	64.1	75		
	2013	64.9			
	Aggregated	66.5	74.5	80.7	87.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	76.2	81
	2011	N<16	83.3	88.9	
	2012	78.3	100		
	2013	93.9			
	Aggregated	81.9	89.1	82.1	81

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7- year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The district earned ... of the total Framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 80%	Distinction
	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
	• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	53.6%	(26.8 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	35.4%	(8.9 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		50.3%	(50.3 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	316	-	-	316	316	-	-	316
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	319	-	-	319	319	-	-	319
Writing	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	317	-	-	317	318	-	-	318
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	103	-	-	103	103	-	-	103
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	118	-	-	118	118	-	-	118
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

School: EVANS INTERNATIONAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1618

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	291	72.51	51
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	291	69.76	47
Writing	2	4		Approaching	290	52.41	47
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	179	50	31	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	179	29	41	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	178	38	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	33	56	27	Yes
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	78	48	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	38	52	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	26	30	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	45	48	60	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	78	29	47	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	17	60	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	19	44	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	30	82	No
Writing	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	78	42	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	23	47	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	20	42	41	Yes
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	64	36	60	No
Total	17	48	35.4%	Does Not Meet				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²			
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)		
Academic Growth	Approaching	53.6%	(26.8 out of 50 points)		
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.0%	(11.3 out of 25 points)		
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate				
TOTAL		52.7%	(52.7 out of 100 points)		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	934	-	-	934	936	-	-	936
Mathematics	99.5%	-	-	99.5%	Meets	-	-	Meets	936	-	-	936	941	-	-	941
Writing	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	937	-	-	937	940	-	-	940
Science	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	316	-	-	316	317	-	-	317
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	118	-	-	118	118	-	-	118
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: EVANS INTERNATIONAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1618

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	858	71.1	47
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	855	69.01	47
Writing	3	4		Meets	856	55.96	51
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	521	47	31	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	521	38	47	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	520	44	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	33	56	27	Yes
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	194	43	36	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	243	47	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	79	37	66	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	53	46	42	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	149	49	64	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	193	43	54	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	244	38	51	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	80	31	77	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	34	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	139	41	80	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	194	46	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	243	44	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	79	28	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	53	44	50	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	226	44	63	No
Total	27	60	45%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: FALCON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 2902

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <p>Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned</p> <p>Performance at or above 59%</p> <p>Improvement at or above 47% - below 59%</p> <p>Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%</p> <p>Turnaround below 37%</p> <p>Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.</p>		Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)
		Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)
		Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)
		Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL				60.5%	(60.5 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	-	-	99.4%	Meets	-	-	Meets	154	-	-	154	155	-	-	155
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	153	-	-	153	153	-	-	153
Writing	99.3%	-	-	99.3%	Meets	-	-	Meets	152	-	-	152	153	-	-	153
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	52	-	-	52	52	-	-	52
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	53	-	-	53	53	-	-	53
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: FALCON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 2902

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	149	69.13	43
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	148	73.65	57
Writing	2	4		Approaching	147	53.06	49
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	90	46	31	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	92	43	49	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	91	51	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	27	35	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	21	54	72	No
Mathematics	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	27	46	49	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	34	46	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	48	56	68	No
Total	10	20	50%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: FALCON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 2902

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	47.9%	(12.0 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		64.1%	(64.1 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.2%	-	-	99.2%	Meets	-	-	Meets	475	-	-	475	479	-	-	479
Mathematics	99.4%	-	-	99.4%	Meets	-	-	Meets	473	-	-	473	476	-	-	476
Writing	99.4%	-	-	99.4%	Meets	-	-	Meets	473	-	-	473	476	-	-	476
Science	98.1%	-	-	98.1%	Meets	-	-	Meets	156	-	-	156	159	-	-	159
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	53	-	-	53	53	-	-	53
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: FALCON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 2902

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	446	71.75	48
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	444	75.23	62
Writing	2	4		Approaching	444	49.77	39
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	275	46	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	276	50	48	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	276	49	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	79	48	36	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	78	36	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	38	63	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	67	51	61	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	78	55	52	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	78	48	50	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	39	36	66	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	55	50	76	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	79	42	51	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	78	34	45	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	39	53	78	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	140	51	63	No
Total	23	48	47.9%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²												
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Improvement</td><td>at or above 47% - below 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Priority Improvement</td><td>at or above 33% - below 47%</td></tr><tr><td>Turnaround</td><td>below 33%</td></tr></table> <p>Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.</p>		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 60%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 33%	Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
		Performance	at or above 60%													
		Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%													
		Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%													
Turnaround	below 33%															
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	86.7%	(30.3 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Test Participation ³		Meets 95% Participation Rate														
TOTAL		72.4%	(72.4 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>												

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	672	672	-	-	675	675
Mathematics	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	673	673	-	-	675	675
Writing	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	673	673	-	-	675	675
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	275	275	-	-	276	276

School: FALCON HIGH SCHOOL - 2908

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	635	76.22	63
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	638	37.46	60
Writing	3	4		Meets	636	57.08	66
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	578	48	11	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	584	42	78	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	581	46	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	149	51	19	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	37	91	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	135	51	72	No
Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	152	42	90	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	45	65	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	286	44	99	No
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	151	41	51	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	45	36	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	208	47	90	No
Total	18	36	50%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/ 6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	342/282/283/272	94.4/94.7/96.5/92.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3	3	100%	Exceeds			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	66/63/45/41	87.9/93.7/95.6/85.4%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	88/68/54/60	92/94.1/98.1/93.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	1	1		Exceeds	37/20/24/28	75.7/70/91.7/85.7%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	1544	0.5%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	275	19.9	20.0
Total	13	15	86.7%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	88.8	91.9	91.9	92.3
	2011	94.7	95.8	96.5	
	2012	92.6	94.7		
	2013	94.4			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	80	82.1	82.9	85.4
	2011	87.8	91.1	95.6	
	2012	89.1	93.7		
	2013	87.9			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	88.5	91.8	93.3	93.3
	2011	96.4	98.1	98.1	
	2012	92.6	94.1		
	2013	92			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	81.5	85.2	82.1	85.7
	2011	90.9	87	91.7	
	2012	61.9	70		
	2013	75.7			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	88.8	91.9	91.9	92.3
	2011	94.7	95.8	96.5	
	2012	92.6	94.7		
	2013	94.4			
	Aggregated	92.8	94.1	94.2	92.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	80	82.1	82.9	85.4
	2011	87.8	91.1	95.6	
	2012	89.1	93.7		
	2013	87.9			
	Aggregated	86.7	89.8	89.5	85.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	88.5	91.8	93.3	93.3
	2011	96.4	98.1	98.1	
	2012	92.6	94.1		
	2013	92			
	Aggregated	92.3	94.5	95.6	93.3

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	81.5	85.2	82.1	85.7
	2011	90.9	87	91.7	
	2012	61.9	70		
	2013	75.7			
	Aggregated	77.6	81.4	86.5	85.7

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%
	• at or above 47% - below 60%
	• at or above 33% - below 47%
	• below 33%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance		Performance Indicators		Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²											
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Improvement</td><td>at or above 47% - below 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Priority Improvement</td><td>at or above 33% - below 47%</td></tr><tr><td>Turnaround</td><td>below 33%</td></tr></table>		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 60%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 33%	Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	<div></div>
		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
		Performance	at or above 60%													
		Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%													
		Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%													
Turnaround	below 33%															
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	<div></div>												
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.7%	(8.5 out of 15 points)	<div></div>												
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	<div></div>												
		Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate													
		TOTAL		72.3%	(72.3 out of 100 points)	<div></div>										

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1926	1926	-	-	1932	1932
Mathematics	-	-	99.9%	99.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1930	1930	-	-	1932	1932
Writing	-	-	99.8%	99.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1929	1929	-	-	1932	1932
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	878	878	-	-	883	883

School: FALCON HIGH SCHOOL - 2908

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	1823	78.22	72
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1829	38.66	68
Writing	3	4		Meets	1826	58.05	66
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	1670	51	11	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1679	45	78	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1675	49	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	184	49	24	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	446	47	20	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	122	42	91	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	22	51	19	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	397	51	70	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	184	44	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	450	44	92	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	124	49	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	38	83	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	824	46	99	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	184	51	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	449	45	53	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	124	41	99	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	22	60	50	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	617	48	89	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/ 6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	1189/837/555/272	92.8/94.1/94.2/92.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	3	83.3%	Meets			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	211/147/86/41	86.7/89.8/89.5/85.4%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	272/183/114/60	92.3/94.5/95.6/93.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	107/70/52/28	77.6/81.4/86.5/85.7%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	4652	0.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	878	19.9	20.1
Total	12.5	15	83.3%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	88.8	91.9	91.9	92.3
	2011	94.7	95.8	96.5	
	2012	92.6	94.7		
	2013	94.4			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	80	82.1	82.9	85.4
	2011	87.8	91.1	95.6	
	2012	89.1	93.7		
	2013	87.9			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	88.5	91.8	93.3	93.3
	2011	96.4	98.1	98.1	
	2012	92.6	94.1		
	2013	92			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	81.5	85.2	82.1	85.7
	2011	90.9	87	91.7	
	2012	61.9	70		
	2013	75.7			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	88.8	91.9	91.9	92.3
	2011	94.7	95.8	96.5	
	2012	92.6	94.7		
	2013	94.4			
	Aggregated	92.8	94.1	94.2	92.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	80	82.1	82.9	85.4
	2011	87.8	91.1	95.6	
	2012	89.1	93.7		
	2013	87.9			
	Aggregated	86.7	89.8	89.5	85.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	88.5	91.8	93.3	93.3
	2011	96.4	98.1	98.1	
	2012	92.6	94.1		
	2013	92			
	Aggregated	92.3	94.5	95.6	93.3

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	81.5	85.2	82.1	85.7
	2011	90.9	87	91.7	
	2012	61.9	70		
	2013	75.7			
	Aggregated	77.6	81.4	86.5	85.7

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: FALCON MIDDLE SCHOOL - 2906

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance	Performance Indicators		Rating		% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
	Academic Achievement		Meets		75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
	Academic Growth		Approaching		58.3%	(29.2 out of 50 points)
	Academic Growth Gaps		Approaching		52.8%	(13.2 out of 25 points)
	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate				
Plan Assignment		Framework Points Earned		TOTAL		
Performance		at or above 59%		61.2%		
Improvement		at or above 47% - below 59%		(61.2 out of 100 points)		
Priority Improvement		at or above 37% - below 47%				
Turnaround		below 37%				

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.6%	-	99.6%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	915	-	915	-	919	-	919
Mathematics	-	99.6%	-	99.6%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	915	-	915	-	919	-	919
Writing	-	99.6%	-	99.6%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	915	-	915	-	919	-	919
Science	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	304	-	304	-	304	-	304
Social Studies	-	99.7%	-	99.7%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	340	-	340	-	341	-	341
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

School: FALCON MIDDLE SCHOOL - 2906

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	865	73.41	53
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	866	58.43	62
Writing	3	4		Meets	865	63.58	62
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	788	44	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	788	43	61	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	788	50	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	217	47	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	110	41	59	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	170	47	63	No
<i>Mathematics</i>	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	218	48	73	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	111	45	87	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	285	46	87	No
<i>Writing</i>	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	216	48	50	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	111	43	73	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	275	45	73	No
Total	19	36	52.8%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: FALCON MIDDLE SCHOOL - 2906

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²			
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <p>Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned</p> <p>Performance at or above 59%</p>		Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>	
		Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	<div><div></div></div>	
		Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>	
		Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate				
		TOTAL		64.7%	(64.7 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	2766	-	2766	-	2772	-	2772
Mathematics	-	99.7%	-	99.7%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	2766	-	2766	-	2773	-	2773
Writing	-	99.7%	-	99.7%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	2763	-	2763	-	2772	-	2772
Science	-	99.9%	-	99.9%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	949	-	949	-	950	-	950
Social Studies	-	99.7%	-	99.7%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	340	-	340	-	341	-	341
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: FALCON MIDDLE SCHOOL - 2906

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	2614	76.7	65
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2614	60.94	70
Writing	3	4		Meets	2611	66.41	69
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	2394	48	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2394	46	59	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2389	51	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	328	45	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	636	49	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	237	37	65	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	43	40	47	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	498	48	61	No
Mathematics	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	328	38	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	637	48	69	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	238	39	91	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	43	43	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	776	48	88	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	327	49	51	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	632	50	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	238	40	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	43	48	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	798	50	73	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²												
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Improvement</td><td>at or above 47% - below 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Priority Improvement</td><td>at or above 33% - below 47%</td></tr><tr><td>Turnaround</td><td>below 33%</td></tr></table> <p>Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.</p>		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 60%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 33%	Academic Achievement	Approaching	61.1%	(9.2 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
		Performance	at or above 60%													
		Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%													
		Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%													
Turnaround	below 33%															
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	53.1%	(8.0 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate															
		TOTAL		66.8%	(66.8 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>										

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	74	134	87	295	74	134	87	295
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	74	134	87	295	74	134	87	295
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	74	134	87	295	74	134	87	295
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	30	69	-	99	30	69	-	99
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	25	39	-	64	25	39	-	64
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	28	28	-	-	28	28

School: FALCON VIRTUAL ACADEMY - 2877

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	73	75.34	58
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	73	69.86	47
Writing	2	4		Approaching	73	50.68	43
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	48	33	23	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	48	42	47	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	48	59	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				

School: FALCON VIRTUAL ACADEMY - 2877

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	134	76.87	62
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	134	38.06	25
Writing	2	4		Approaching	134	57.46	49
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	113	53	30	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	113	31	72	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	112	46	52	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	25	49	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	30	60	63	No
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	19	66	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	54	41	94	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	25	52	59	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	48	54	77	No
Total	13	24	54.2%	Approaching				

School: FALCON VIRTUAL ACADEMY - 2877

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	84	77.38	67
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	84	26.19	34
Writing	3	4		Meets	84	54.76	59
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	76	50	14	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	76	43	93	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	76	50	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
<i>Mathematics</i>	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	45	42	99	No
<i>Writing</i>	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	28	53	90	No
Total	4	8	50%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/ 5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	39/32/N<16/N<16	92.3/93.8/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	310	1%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	28	19.9	20.0
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	85.7	93.8		
	2013	92.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	85.7	93.8		
	2013	92.3			
	Aggregated	87.5	89.5	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	87	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	94.4	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%
	• at or above 47% - below 60%
	• at or above 33% - below 47%
	• below 33%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²												
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Improvement</td><td>at or above 47% - below 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Priority Improvement</td><td>at or above 33% - below 47%</td></tr><tr><td>Turnaround</td><td>below 33%</td></tr></table> <p>Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.</p>		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 60%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 33%	Academic Achievement	Approaching	61.1%	(9.2 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
		Performance	at or above 60%													
		Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%													
		Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%													
Turnaround	below 33%															
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	53.1%	(8.0 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	76.8%	(26.9 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate															
		TOTAL		61.6%	(61.6 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>										

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	170	338	234	742	171	338	234	743
Mathematics	99.4%	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	169	338	234	741	170	338	234	742
Writing	99.4%	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	169	338	234	741	170	338	234	742
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	60	131	-	191	60	131	-	191
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	25	39	-	64	25	39	-	64
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	64	64	-	-	64	64

School: FALCON VIRTUAL ACADEMY - 2877

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	168	74.4	55
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	167	67.07	43
Writing	2	4		Approaching	167	48.5	36
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	103	41	28	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	103	37	51	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	103	57	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	23	35	23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	25	63	60	Yes
Mathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	26	51	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	31	83	No
Writing	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	23	46	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	50	61	70	No
Total	14	24	58.3%	Approaching				

School: FALCON VIRTUAL ACADEMY - 2877

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	336	74.4	57
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	336	42.56	31
Writing	2	4		Approaching	336	55.65	43
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	282	52	29	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	282	35	69	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	281	46	50	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	58	50	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	27	55	71	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	71	54	63	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	58	36	68	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	14	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	33	91	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	58	51	58	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	27	40	82	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	120	56	77	No
Total	18	36	50%	Approaching				

School: FALCON VIRTUAL ACADEMY - 2877

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	209	78.47	72
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	209	27.75	42
Writing	3	4		Meets	209	54.07	59
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	189	43	12	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	189	38	88	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	189	48	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	44	38	21	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	38	86	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	46	40	77	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	32	97	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	51	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	103	44	99	No
Writing	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	44	53	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	24	63	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	73	57	88	No
Total	19	36	52.8%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	80/38/N<16/N<16	87.5/89.5/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	2	87.5%	Exceeds			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	23/N<16/N<16/N<16	87/-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	18/N<16/N<16/N<16	94.4/-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	573	1%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	64	19.8	20.1
Total	10.75	14	76.8%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	85.7	93.8		
	2013	92.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	85.7	93.8		
	2013	92.3			
	Aggregated	87.5	89.5	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	87	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	94.4	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Pending AEC SPF		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
		Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)
		Academic Growth	Approaching	39.3%	(13.8 out of 35 points)
		Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(5.0 out of 15 points)
		Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation ³			
Performance	at or above 60%	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%				
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%				
Turnaround	below 33%				
All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. The plan type is based on the overall AEC framework score, which is a percentage of the total points earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.					
Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.					
		TOTAL		31.4%	(31.4 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	634	634	-	-	641	641
Mathematics	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	638	638	-	-	643	643
Writing	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	634	634	-	-	641	641
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	319	319	-	-	321	321

School: GOAL ACADEMY - 3475

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	615	40.16	6
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	619	4.36	1
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	615	16.26	4
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	479	40	66	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	485	31	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	486	40	93	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	94	29	50	No
Total	5.5	14	39.3%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	318	39	70	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	254	38	73	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	67	43	96	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	72	33	81	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	302	42	86	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	324	29	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	258	29	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	67	51	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	72	26	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	431	33	99	No
Writing	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	325	38	94	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	259	38	94	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	68	38	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	73	40	94	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	370	41	97	No
Total	20	60	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	749/723/595/379	27.1/31.4/35/36.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	653/643/513/325	25.6/29.4/33.3/34.2%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	434/433/339/237	25.1/29.1/29.5/32.1%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	114/84/75/38	17.5/17.9/25.3/44.7%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	128/124/105/75	21.1/22.6/20/28%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	3203	22.1%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet	319	16.3	20.0
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	27.8	24	32.9	36.4
	2011	19.3	28.5	35	
	2012	19.4	31.4		
	2013	27.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	21.2	19.1	29.8	34.2
	2011	13.6	26.1	33.3	
	2012	17.2	29.4		
	2013	25.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	23.8	21.7	29.1	32.1
	2011	15.6	21.4	29.5	
	2012	16.8	29.1		
	2013	25.1			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	11.1	39.3	44.7
	2011	19.2	19.2	25.3	
	2012	7.6	17.9		
	2013	17.5			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	20	24.6	28
	2011	10.5	14.3	20	
	2012	15.9	22.6		
	2013	21.1			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	27.8	24	32.9	36.4
	2011	19.3	28.5	35	
	2012	19.4	31.4		
	2013	27.1			
	Aggregated	23.2	28.9	34.2	36.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	21.2	19.1	29.8	34.2
	2011	13.6	26.1	33.3	
	2012	17.2	29.4		
	2013	25.6			
	Aggregated	21	26.7	32.1	34.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	23.8	21.7	29.1	32.1
	2011	15.6	21.4	29.5	
	2012	16.8	29.1		
	2013	25.1			
	Aggregated	20.7	25.3	29.3	32.1

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	11.1	39.3	44.7
	2011	19.2	19.2	25.3	
	2012	7.6	17.9		
	2013	17.5			
	Aggregated	15	17.5	29.1	44.7

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	20	24.6	28
	2011	10.5	14.3	20	
	2012	15.9	22.6		
	2013	21.1			
	Aggregated	18.2	19.8	21.6	28

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%
	• at or above 47% - below 60%
	• at or above 33% - below 47%
	• below 33%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Pending AEC SPF		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²		
		Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	<div></div>
		Academic Growth	Approaching	39.3%	(13.8 out of 35 points)	<div></div>
		Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	31.7%	(4.8 out of 15 points)	<div></div>
		Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	<div></div>
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation ³				
Performance	at or above 60%	Meets 95% Participation Rate				
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%					
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%					
Turnaround	below 33%					
		TOTAL		31.2%	(31.2 out of 100 points)	<div></div>

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. The plan type is based on the overall AEC framework score, which is a percentage of the total points earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.3%	98.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1386	1386	-	-	1410	1410
Mathematics	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1398	1398	-	-	1414	1414
Writing	-	-	98.4%	98.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1388	1388	-	-	1410	1410
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	784	784	-	-	789	789

School: GOAL ACADEMY - 3475

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	1325	41.28	7
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	1338	4.78	4
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	1328	19.13	7
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1047	42	64	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	1066	31	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1057	40	93	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	94	29	50	No
Total	5.5	14	39.3%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	719	40	70	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	572	39	73	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	123	39	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	126	34	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	655	42	87	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	735	30	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	590	29	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	124	45	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	130	28	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	950	33	99	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	728	39	95	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	580	39	95	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	125	38	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	127	37	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	813	41	98	No
Total	19	60	31.7%	Does Not Meet				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	1892/1541/914/379	23.2/28.9/34.2/36.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	1393/1247/785/325	21/26.7/32.1/34.2%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	1060/870/535/237	20.7/25.3/29.3/32.1%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	214/154/103/38	15/17.5/29.1/44.7%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	264/222/162/75	18.2/19.8/21.6/28%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	6843	15.7%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet	784	16.4	20.1
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	27.8	24	32.9	36.4
	2011	19.3	28.5	35	
	2012	19.4	31.4		
	2013	27.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	21.2	19.1	29.8	34.2
	2011	13.6	26.1	33.3	
	2012	17.2	29.4		
	2013	25.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	23.8	21.7	29.1	32.1
	2011	15.6	21.4	29.5	
	2012	16.8	29.1		
	2013	25.1			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	11.1	39.3	44.7
	2011	19.2	19.2	25.3	
	2012	7.6	17.9		
	2013	17.5			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	20	24.6	28
	2011	10.5	14.3	20	
	2012	15.9	22.6		
	2013	21.1			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	27.8	24	32.9	36.4
	2011	19.3	28.5	35	
	2012	19.4	31.4		
	2013	27.1			
	Aggregated	23.2	28.9	34.2	36.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	21.2	19.1	29.8	34.2
	2011	13.6	26.1	33.3	
	2012	17.2	29.4		
	2013	25.6			
	Aggregated	21	26.7	32.1	34.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	23.8	21.7	29.1	32.1
	2011	15.6	21.4	29.5	
	2012	16.8	29.1		
	2013	25.1			
	Aggregated	20.7	25.3	29.3	32.1

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	11.1	39.3	44.7
	2011	19.2	19.2	25.3	
	2012	7.6	17.9		
	2013	17.5			
	Aggregated	15	17.5	29.1	44.7

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	20	24.6	28
	2011	10.5	14.3	20	
	2012	15.9	22.6		
	2013	21.1			
	Aggregated	18.2	19.8	21.6	28

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: HORIZON MIDDLE SCHOOL - 4102

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance	Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²		
	Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)	<div></div>
	Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	(35.7 out of 50 points)	<div></div>
	Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.3%	(14.1 out of 25 points)	<div></div>
	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
Plan Assignment		Framework Points Earned		TOTAL	
Performance		at or above 59%		64.4%	(64.4 out of 100 points) <div></div>
Improvement		at or above 47% - below 59%			
Priority Improvement		at or above 37% - below 47%			
Turnaround		below 37%			

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	614	-	614	-	615	-	615
Mathematics	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	612	-	612	-	613	-	613
Writing	-	99.8%	-	99.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	614	-	614	-	615	-	615
Science	-	99.0%	-	99.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	206	-	206	-	208	-	208
Social Studies	-	99.5%	-	99.5%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	212	-	212	-	213	-	213
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

Official plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report

School: HORIZON MIDDLE SCHOOL - 4102

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	567	67.72	39
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	565	46.73	39
Writing	3	4		Meets	567	59.26	52
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	530	51	32	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	528	42	71	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	530	55	49	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	26	72	57	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	261	46	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	70	52	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	43	49	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	156	49	67	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	259	41	77	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	71	30	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	43	52	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	253	41	91	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	260	56	51	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	71	54	84	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	43	58	71	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	219	57	75	No
Total	27	48	56.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: HORIZON MIDDLE SCHOOL - 4102

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²		
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p>		Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	(35.7 out of 50 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.7%	(12.9 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned					
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL		63.2%	(63.2 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.4%	-	99.4%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1873	-	1873	-	1884	-	1884
Mathematics	-	99.5%	-	99.5%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1873	-	1873	-	1882	-	1882
Writing	-	99.5%	-	99.5%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1874	-	1874	-	1884	-	1884
Science	-	99.0%	-	99.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	615	-	615	-	621	-	621
Social Studies	-	99.5%	-	99.5%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	212	-	212	-	213	-	213
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: HORIZON MIDDLE SCHOOL - 4102

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1717	70.76	49
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1717	50.32	47
Writing	3	4		Meets	1718	62.69	59
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	1598	52	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1600	40	67	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1599	51	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	26	72	57	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	426	47	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	732	47	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	181	54	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	112	49	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	445	51	63	No
Mathematics	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	426	39	72	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	730	39	73	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	185	40	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	112	49	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	667	43	91	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	427	43	49	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	731	50	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	183	53	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	112	51	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	588	52	74	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: IMAGINE INDIGO RANCH - 4251

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²												
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 59%</td></tr><tr><td>Improvement</td><td>at or above 47% - below 59%</td></tr><tr><td>Priority Improvement</td><td>at or above 37% - below 47%</td></tr><tr><td>Turnaround</td><td>below 37%</td></tr></table> <p>Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.</p>		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 59%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 37%	Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
		Performance	at or above 59%													
		Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%													
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%															
Turnaround	below 37%															
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(25.0 out of 50 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate															
		TOTAL		56.3%	(56.3 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>										

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.3%	98.3%	-	99.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	299	178	-	477	301	181	-	482
Mathematics	98.3%	98.3%	-	98.3%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	295	178	-	473	300	181	-	481
Writing	100.0%	98.3%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	299	178	-	477	299	181	-	480
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	93	44	-	137	93	44	-	137
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	96	62	-	158	96	62	-	158
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: IMAGINE INDIGO RANCH - 4251

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	288	77.78	64
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	284	78.87	68
Writing	3	4		Meets	288	55.9	54
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	173	31	23	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	171	37	39	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	173	32	36	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	74	28	34	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	35	66	No
Mathematics	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	33	43	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	74	29	45	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	70	36	62	No
Total	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				

School: IMAGINE INDIGO RANCH - 4251

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	167	81.44	74
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	167	55.09	55
Writing	3	4		Meets	167	69.46	73
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	158	55	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	158	47	62	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	158	51	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	52	61	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	35	58	63	No
<i>Mathematics</i>	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	53	54	66	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	58	59	86	No
<i>Writing</i>	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	52	50	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	51	50	73	No
Total	17	24	70.8%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: IMAGINE INDIGO RANCH - 4251

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(29.2 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	49.0%	(12.3 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		60.3%	(60.3 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.4%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	862	510	-	1372	864	513	-	1377
Mathematics	99.3%	99.0%	-	99.2%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	857	508	-	1365	863	513	-	1376
Writing	99.9%	99.2%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	861	510	-	1371	862	514	-	1376
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	252	125	-	377	252	125	-	377
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	96	62	-	158	96	62	-	158
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: IMAGINE INDIGO RANCH - 4251

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	850	76.94	63
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	845	80.36	75
Writing	3	4		Meets	849	59.13	57
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	511	41	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	509	43	41	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	510	41	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	48	35	29	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	173	38	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	27	79	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	105	46	59	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	48	48	47	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	172	38	45	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	28	72	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	84	45	75	No
Writing	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	48	40	39	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	172	38	43	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	32	81	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	205	42	59	No
Total	20	48	41.7%	Approaching				

School: IMAGINE INDIGO RANCH - 4251

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	497	82.9	82
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	495	57.98	65
Writing	3	4		Meets	497	73.84	83
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	466	53	23	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	463	41	61	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	466	54	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	38	49	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	153	55	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23	43	58	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	89	51	61	No
Mathematics	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	37	74	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	152	46	67	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	35	88	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	148	44	88	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	39	40	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	154	53	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	22	57	83	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	133	57	73	No
Total	27	48	56.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(16.7 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		68.9%	(68.9 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	351	-	-	351	351	-	-	351
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	351	-	-	351	351	-	-	351
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	351	-	-	351	351	-	-	351
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	113	-	-	113	113	-	-	113
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	117	-	-	117	117	-	-	117
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

School: MERIDIAN RANCH INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL - 5779

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	337	81.6	74
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	336	76.79	63
Writing	3	4		Meets	336	61.31	64
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	198	56	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	197	40	45	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	198	50	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	48	59	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	44	70	63	Yes
Mathematics	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	48	44	50	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	39	49	77	No
Writing	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	48	57	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	85	47	60	No
Total	16	24	66.7%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%
Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.	

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.8%	(17.7 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		74.0%	(74.0 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	977	-	-	977	979	-	-	979
Mathematics	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	977	-	-	977	979	-	-	979
Writing	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	979	-	-	979	981	-	-	981
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	329	-	-	329	329	-	-	329
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	117	-	-	117	117	-	-	117
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: MERIDIAN RANCH INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL - 5779

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	926	80.02	70
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	927	76.81	66
Writing	3	4		Meets	927	60.41	60
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	551	57	27	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	550	50	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	551	55	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	41	58	32	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	128	60	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	59	51	68	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	126	70	65	Yes
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	41	44	49	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	128	56	51	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	59	49	72	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	103	55	76	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	41	44	48	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	128	60	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	59	54	77	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	234	56	60	No
Total	34	48	70.8%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: ODYSSEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 6483

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²					
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 59%</td></tr></table>	Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 59%	Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>
	Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned							
	Performance	at or above 59%							
	Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	(35.7 out of 50 points)	<div><div></div></div>				
	Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>				
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate								
TOTAL			62.8%	(62.8 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>				

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	278	-	-	278	278	-	-	278
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	277	-	-	277	277	-	-	277
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	277	-	-	277	277	-	-	277
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	100	-	-	100	100	-	-	100
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	96	-	-	96	96	-	-	96
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: ODYSSEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 6483

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	263	66.92	38
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	262	55.34	21
Writing	2	4		Approaching	262	44.27	31
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	166	59	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	166	53	56	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	167	48	47	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	24	66	28	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	60	64	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	39	59	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	47	59	65	No
Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	60	52	65	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	22	48	76	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	56	57	80	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	60	53	50	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	35	58	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	91	48	60	No
Total	21	36	58.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: ODYSSEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 6483

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance	Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
	Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)
	Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	(35.7 out of 50 points)
	Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.3%	(15.8 out of 25 points)
	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL			64.0%	(64.0 out of 100 points)

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	831	-	-	831	833	-	-	833
Mathematics	99.9%	-	-	99.9%	Meets	-	-	Meets	831	-	-	831	832	-	-	832
Writing	99.9%	-	-	99.9%	Meets	-	-	Meets	831	-	-	831	832	-	-	832
Science	99.3%	-	-	99.3%	Meets	-	-	Meets	276	-	-	276	278	-	-	278
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	96	-	-	96	96	-	-	96
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: ODYSSEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 6483

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	786	71.37	48
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	786	62.34	34
Writing	2	4		Approaching	786	47.96	35
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	501	52	32	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	501	52	53	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	503	48	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	24	66	28	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	143	46	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	206	53	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	57	38	63	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	36	58	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	142	59	62	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	142	44	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	205	52	59	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	57	47	76	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	36	56	55	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	170	58	77	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	143	46	50	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	206	51	51	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	57	53	74	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	36	64	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	250	51	62	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Pending AEC SPF		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
<div> <div>All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. The plan type is based on the overall AEC framework score, which is a percentage of the total points earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.</div> <div> <div>Plan Assignment</div> <div>Framework Points Earned</div> <div> <div>Performance</div> <div>Improvement</div> <div>Priority Improvement</div> <div>Turnaround</div> </div> <div> <div>at or above 60%</div> <div>at or above 47% - below 60%</div> <div>at or above 33% - below 47%</div> <div>below 33%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.</div> </div> </div>		Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)
		Academic Growth	Approaching	37.5%	(13.1 out of 35 points)
		Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	31.3%	(4.7 out of 15 points)
		Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	48.3%	(16.9 out of 35 points)
		Test Participation ³	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL				38.5%	(38.5 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.
 ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	56.1%	78.1%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	57	32	89	-	57	57	114
Mathematics	-	100.0%	98.2%	99.1%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	57	56	113	-	57	57	114
Writing	-	100.0%	52.6%	76.3%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	57	30	87	-	57	57	114
Science	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	28	-	28	-	28	-	28
Social Studies	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	13	-	13	-	13
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	53	53	-	-	53	53

School: PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER - 6810

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	45	33.33	2
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	45	11.11	0
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	45	15.56	1
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	29	52	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	41	40	90	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	36	81	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	29	64	No
Mathematics	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	31	44	96	No
Writing	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	37	85	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

School: PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER - 6810

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	16	0
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	42	0	0
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	8.7	1
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	22	52	83	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	36	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	20	53	98	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	36	99	No
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	103/98/83/96	64.1/84.7/72.3/81.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.25	3	41.7%	Approaching			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	41/39/37/36	65.9/76.9/73/75%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching	41/37/35/34	63.4/78.4/65.7/79.4%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	17/N<16/N<16/N<16	58.8/-/-/%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	304	4.9%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	16.3	20.0
Total	7.25	15	48.3%	Approaching			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	56.2	71.1	81.3	81.3
	2011	55.4	68.7	72.3	
	2012	62.4	84.7		
	2013	64.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	43.5	64	72.2	75
	2011	59.3	67.6	73	
	2012	47.1	76.9		
	2013	65.9			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	55.6	63.3	80.6	79.4
	2011	42.4	62.9	65.7	
	2012	48.6	78.4		
	2013	63.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	58.8			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	56.2	71.1	81.3	81.3
	2011	55.4	68.7	72.3	
	2012	62.4	84.7		
	2013	64.1			
	Aggregated	59.9	75.3	77	81.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	43.5	64	72.2	75
	2011	59.3	67.6	73	
	2012	47.1	76.9		
	2013	65.9			
	Aggregated	55.2	70.3	72.6	75

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	55.6	63.3	80.6	79.4
	2011	42.4	62.9	65.7	
	2012	48.6	78.4		
	2013	63.4			
	Aggregated	52.9	68.6	72.7	79.4

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	58.8			
	Aggregated	57.4	75.8	75	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	62.5	62.5	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	15	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4				
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3				
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2				
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1				
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35	
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5			
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15	
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4				
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3				
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2				
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35	
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1			
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4				
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3				
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2				
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1				
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:							
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4				
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3				
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2				
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1				

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%
	• at or above 47% - below 60%
	• at or above 33% - below 47%
	• below 33%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Pending AEC SPF		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
		Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)
		Academic Growth	Approaching	37.5%	(13.1 out of 35 points)
		Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	39.6%	(5.9 out of 15 points)
		Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	48.4%	(16.9 out of 35 points)
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation ³ Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate			
Performance	at or above 60%				
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%				
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%				
Turnaround	below 33%				
		TOTAL		39.7%	(39.7 out of 100 points)
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.					
³ Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).					

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	81.3%	91.1%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	161	117	278	-	161	144	305
Mathematics	-	100.0%	97.9%	99.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	161	141	302	-	161	144	305
Writing	-	100.0%	80.6%	90.8%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	161	116	277	-	161	144	305
Science	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	81	-	81	-	81	-	81
Social Studies	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	13	-	13	-	13
Colorado ACT	-	-	96.8%	96.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	150	150	-	-	155	155

School: PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER - 6810

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	137	42.34	9
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	137	23.36	8
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	137	25.55	6
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	130	38	49	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	130	43	89	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	130	39	71	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	41	44	52	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	58	38	53	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	28	77	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	67	46	70	No
Mathematics	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	36	88	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	58	36	90	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	35	47	96	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	90	48	95	No
Writing	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	37	64	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	58	37	69	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	29	86	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	87	43	84	No
Total	17	48	35.4%	Does Not Meet				

School: PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER - 6810

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	82	36.59	5
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	99	1.01	1
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	80	11.25	2
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	77	49	72	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	90	36	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	75	46	95	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	25	42	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	25	50	89	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	27	46	78	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	52	49	89	No
Mathematics	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	35	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	29	42	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	26	42	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	87	36	99	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	38	97	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	23	50	97	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	25	50	97	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	63	50	98	No
Total	21	48	43.8%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	359/271/174/96	59.9/75.3/77/81.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	4	43.8%	Approaching			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	125/101/73/36	55.2/70.3/72.6/75%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching	136/102/66/34	52.9/68.6/72.7/79.4%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching	47/33/20/N<16	57.4/75.8/75/-%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	N<16/16/16/N<16	-/62.5/62.5/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	893	4.6%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	16.3	20.1
Total	7.75	16	48.4%	Approaching			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	56.2	71.1	81.3	81.3
	2011	55.4	68.7	72.3	
	2012	62.4	84.7		
	2013	64.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	43.5	64	72.2	75
	2011	59.3	67.6	73	
	2012	47.1	76.9		
	2013	65.9			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	55.6	63.3	80.6	79.4
	2011	42.4	62.9	65.7	
	2012	48.6	78.4		
	2013	63.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	58.8			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	56.2	71.1	81.3	81.3
	2011	55.4	68.7	72.3	
	2012	62.4	84.7		
	2013	64.1			
Aggregated		59.9	75.3	77	81.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	43.5	64	72.2	75
	2011	59.3	67.6	73	
	2012	47.1	76.9		
	2013	65.9			
Aggregated		55.2	70.3	72.6	75

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	55.6	63.3	80.6	79.4
	2011	42.4	62.9	65.7	
	2012	48.6	78.4		
	2013	63.4			
Aggregated		52.9	68.6	72.7	79.4

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	58.8			
Aggregated		57.4	75.8	75	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
Aggregated		N<16	62.5	62.5	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(41.7 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	87.5%	(21.9 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		82.4%	(82.4 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.3%	100.0%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	146	98	-	244	147	98	-	245
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	147	98	-	245	147	98	-	245
Writing	99.3%	100.0%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	147	98	-	245	148	98	-	246
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	56	26	-	82	56	26	-	82
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	47	34	-	81	47	34	-	81
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

School: PIKES PEAK SCHOOL EXPEDITIONARY LEARNING - 6935

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>	
Reading	3	4		Meets	139	82.01	75	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	140	80.71	72	
Writing	3	4		Meets	140	63.57	69	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	93	45	25	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	93	52	43	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	93	50	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
<i>Mathematics</i>	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
<i>Writing</i>	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	36	65	63	Yes
Total	4	4	100%	Exceeds				

School: PIKES PEAK SCHOOL EXPEDITIONARY LEARNING - 6935

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	98	81.63	74
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	98	64.29	72
Writing	3	4		Meets	98	67.35	69
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	97	71	25	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	97	62	64	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	97	62	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	21	67	70	No
<i>Mathematics</i>	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	36	71	91	No
<i>Writing</i>	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	32	62	78	No
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	79.2%	(39.6 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	64.6%	(16.2 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		74.6%	(74.6 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.6%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	450	277	-	727	451	278	-	729
Mathematics	99.8%	100.0%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	449	278	-	727	450	278	-	728
Writing	99.3%	100.0%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	448	278	-	726	451	278	-	729
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	154	72	-	226	154	72	-	226
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	47	34	-	81	47	34	-	81
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: PIKES PEAK SCHOOL EXPEDITIONARY LEARNING - 6935

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	442	78.05	65
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	441	76.19	65
Writing	3	4		Meets	440	59.77	59
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	279	48	26	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	280	48	46	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	277	47	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	33	47	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	41	52	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	50	64	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	62	50	63	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	30	51	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	41	57	54	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	31	68	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	64	47	75	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	33	48	48	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	39	40	46	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	38	69	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	113	50	64	No
Total	25	48	52.1%	Approaching				

School: PIKES PEAK SCHOOL EXPEDITIONARY LEARNING - 6935

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	277	83.75	83
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	278	64.03	75
Writing	3	4		Meets	278	66.91	71
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	262	65	24	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	263	64	65	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	264	56	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	28	67	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	46	54	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	20	65	53	Yes
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	58	66	66	Yes
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	27	52	75	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	46	66	63	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	53	85	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	99	64	86	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	28	52	50	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	46	51	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	51	56	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	90	56	76	No
Total	37	48	77.1%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: REMINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7317

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		75.1%	(75.1 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	312	-	-	312	313	-	-	313
Mathematics	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	311	-	-	311	312	-	-	312
Writing	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	312	-	-	312	313	-	-	313
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	120	-	-	120	120	-	-	120
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	89	-	-	89	89	-	-	89
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

School: REMINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7317

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	292	75.68	59
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	290	73.79	57
Writing	3	4		Meets	290	57.24	56
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	181	48	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	181	56	49	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	181	49	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	77	48	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	42	60	55	Yes
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	77	52	54	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	43	64	79	No
Writing	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	77	52	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	77	58	60	No
Total	18	24	75%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: REMINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7317

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3% (14.6 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3% (29.2 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	55.0% (13.8 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		57.6% (57.6 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	896	-	-	896	898	-	-	898
Mathematics	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	897	-	-	897	900	-	-	900
Writing	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	897	-	-	897	900	-	-	900
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	310	-	-	310	310	-	-	310
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	89	-	-	89	89	-	-	89
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Data in this report is based on results from: 2011-12,2012-13,2013-14

School: REMINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7317

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	828	73.91	54
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	829	68.28	46
Writing	2	4		Approaching	828	54.35	48
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	513	44	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	515	56	52	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	515	43	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	125	35	37	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	241	43	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	38	74	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	31	45	49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	134	46	57	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	125	56	57	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	241	57	55	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	53	41	87	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	31	64	75	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	169	61	78	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	125	45	43	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	240	42	44	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	40	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	31	53	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	227	52	62	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	79.2%	(39.6 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	73.6%	(18.4 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		76.8%	(76.8 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.5%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	236	191	-	427	236	192	-	428
Mathematics	100.0%	99.5%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	236	191	-	427	236	192	-	428
Writing	100.0%	99.5%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	236	191	-	427	236	192	-	428
Science	97.4%	100.0%	-	98.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	74	63	-	137	76	63	-	139
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	89	68	-	157	89	68	-	157
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

School: ROCKY MOUNTAIN CLASSICAL ACADEMY - 7463

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	230	76.09	60
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	230	79.57	69
Writing	3	4		Meets	230	59.57	61
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	148	46	25	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	148	48	38	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	148	49	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	23	45	31	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	51	56	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	30	53	63	No
<i>Mathematics</i>	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	23	51	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	52	54	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	23	54	79	No
<i>Writing</i>	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	23	41	43	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	51	51	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	60	47	64	No
Total	23	36	63.9%	Meets				

School: ROCKY MOUNTAIN CLASSICAL ACADEMY - 7463

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	190	81.58	74
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	190	60	67
Writing	3	4		Meets	190	71.05	77
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	172	58	23	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	172	55	64	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	172	64	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	23	59	39	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	74	70	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	37	78	66	Yes
<i>Mathematics</i>	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	23	55	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	74	54	68	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	57	64	89	No
<i>Writing</i>	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	23	56	56	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	74	64	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	54	75	77	No
Total	30	36	83.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	70.8%	(35.4 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.5%	(15.9 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		70.1%	(70.1 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.7%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	654	580	-	1234	654	582	-	1236
Mathematics	100.0%	99.7%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	654	580	-	1234	654	582	-	1236
Writing	100.0%	99.7%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	654	580	-	1234	654	582	-	1236
Science	99.0%	100.0%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	192	174	-	366	194	174	-	368
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	89	68	-	157	89	68	-	157
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: ROCKY MOUNTAIN CLASSICAL ACADEMY - 7463

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	641	77.22	63
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	640	80.94	77
Writing	3	4		Meets	640	57.19	54
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	378	44	25	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	378	45	38	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	378	46	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	62	40	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	125	46	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	25	62	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	69	45	61	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	62	51	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	126	47	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	31	58	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	54	51	76	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	62	30	44	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	125	46	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	32	50	74	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	149	42	61	No
Total	25	48	52.1%	Approaching				

School: ROCKY MOUNTAIN CLASSICAL ACADEMY - 7463

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	575	79.13	73
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	575	58.09	65
Writing	3	4		Meets	575	70.26	78
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	530	56	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	530	46	63	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	527	65	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	95	60	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	211	59	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	49	50	85	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	135	62	63	No
<i>Mathematics</i>	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	95	56	76	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	211	45	68	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	49	49	96	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	184	56	89	No
<i>Writing</i>	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	94	61	51	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	211	64	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	49	53	88	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	164	73	79	No
Total	36	48	75%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: RIDGEVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7339

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	46.4%	(23.2 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	34.4%	(8.6 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		46.4%	(46.4 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	342	-	-	342	342	-	-	342
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	342	-	-	342	342	-	-	342
Writing	94.2%	-	-	94.2%	Does Not Meet	-	-	Does Not Meet	322	-	-	322	342	-	-	342
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	102	-	-	102	102	-	-	102
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	120	-	-	120	120	-	-	120
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

School: RIDGEVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7339

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	322	72.98	53
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	322	70.5	48
Writing	2	4		Approaching	303	53.47	49
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	188	46	32	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	188	32	45	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	169	35	39	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	20	56	32	Yes
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	73	40	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	25	80	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	35	68	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	31	46	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	17	71	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	28	77	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	64	43	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	68	45	67	No
Total	11	32	34.4%	Does Not Meet				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: RIDGEVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7339

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
<div>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</div> <div>Plan Assignment</div> <div>Framework Points Earned</div> <div>Performance at or above 59%</div> <div>Improvement at or above 47% - below 59%</div> <div>Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%</div> <div>Turnaround below 37%</div> <div>Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.</div>		Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
		Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)
		Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)
		Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
		TOTAL		70.9%	(70.9 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.9%	-	-	99.9%	Meets	-	-	Meets	979	-	-	979	980	-	-	980
Mathematics	99.9%	-	-	99.9%	Meets	-	-	Meets	981	-	-	981	982	-	-	982
Writing	97.9%	-	-	97.9%	Meets	-	-	Meets	959	-	-	959	980	-	-	980
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	317	-	-	317	317	-	-	317
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	120	-	-	120	120	-	-	120
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: RIDGEVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7339

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	919	75.19	58
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	920	75.76	63
Writing	3	4		Meets	899	59.4	58
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	559	49	29	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	559	46	43	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	540	49	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	20	56	32	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	94	56	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	210	48	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	31	80	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	46	47	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	143	51	63	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	94	57	50	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	211	45	46	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	29	72	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	46	48	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	113	42	77	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	94	51	45	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	201	50	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	47	45	80	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	45	48	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	218	49	62	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: SAND CREEK HIGH SCHOOL - 7613

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators		Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²											
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Improvement</td><td>at or above 47% - below 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Priority Improvement</td><td>at or above 33% - below 47%</td></tr><tr><td>Turnaround</td><td>below 33%</td></tr></table>		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 60%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 33%	Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
		Performance	at or above 60%													
		Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%													
		Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%													
Turnaround	below 33%															
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
		Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate														
		TOTAL		65.8%	(65.8 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>										

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	95.8%	95.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	586	586	-	-	612	612
Mathematics	-	-	95.9%	95.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	587	587	-	-	612	612
Writing	-	-	95.6%	95.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	585	585	-	-	612	612
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	96.5%	96.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	273	273	-	-	283	283

School: SAND CREEK HIGH SCHOOL - 7613

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	542	65.5	29
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	543	24.68	30
Writing	2	4		Approaching	542	48.89	47
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	489	54	18	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	493	38	93	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	491	47	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	225	55	23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	48	60	88	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	34	67	43	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	147	57	70	No
Mathematics	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	225	36	96	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	38	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	34	42	98	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	295	38	99	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	225	48	51	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	48	58	96	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	34	58	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	218	46	89	No
Total	28	48	58.3%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	247/241/245/419	88.7/93.8/91/92.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	3	83.3%	Meets			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	79/82/51/101	78.5/89/88.2/89.1%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	106/103/94/169	87.7/95.1/90.4/91.7%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	19/22/20/42	52.6/59.1/70/88.1%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	1395	0.5%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	273	19.8	20.0
Total	12.5	15	83.3%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	86.2	91.9	92.2	92.8
	2011	86.6	90.2	91	
	2012	91.4	93.8		
	2013	88.7			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	79.4	90.3	89.2	89.1
	2011	82.4	86.5	88.2	
	2012	84.7	89		
	2013	78.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	83.7	90.6	91.8	91.7
	2011	84.2	90.4	90.4	
	2012	90.7	95.1		
	2013	87.7			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	64	85.7	84.1	88.1
	2011	65	65	70	
	2012	54.2	59.1		
	2013	52.6			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	86.2	91.9	92.2	92.8
	2011	86.6	90.2	91	
	2012	91.4	93.8		
	2013	88.7			
	Aggregated	87.9	92	91.8	92.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	79.4	90.3	89.2	89.1
	2011	82.4	86.5	88.2	
	2012	84.7	89		
	2013	78.5			
	Aggregated	81.1	89	88.9	89.1

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	83.7	90.6	91.8	91.7
	2011	84.2	90.4	90.4	
	2012	90.7	95.1		
	2013	87.7			
	Aggregated	86.1	91.8	91.3	91.7

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	64	85.7	84.1	88.1
	2011	65	65	70	
	2012	54.2	59.1		
	2013	52.6			
	Aggregated	60.2	73.8	79.7	88.1

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Anticipated Year of Graduation		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	87.5	100	94.7	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%
	• at or above 47% - below 60%
	• at or above 33% - below 47%
	• below 33%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance		Performance Indicators		Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²											
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Improvement</td><td>at or above 47% - below 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Priority Improvement</td><td>at or above 33% - below 47%</td></tr><tr><td>Turnaround</td><td>below 33%</td></tr></table>		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 60%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 33%	Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
		Performance	at or above 60%													
		Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%													
		Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%													
Turnaround	below 33%															
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.7%	(8.5 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	84.4%	(29.5 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
		Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate														
		TOTAL		71.3%	(71.3 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>										

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	97.0%	97.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1766	1766	-	-	1820	1820
Mathematics	-	-	97.4%	97.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1773	1773	-	-	1821	1821
Writing	-	-	97.0%	97.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1765	1765	-	-	1820	1820
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.9%	97.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	828	828	-	-	846	846

School: SAND CREEK HIGH SCHOOL - 7613							District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1656	71.2	46	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1661	32.27	53	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1656	50.66	52	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1512	49	14	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1519	42	87	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1514	45	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	316	51	26	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	686	51	19	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	112	46	84	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	86	61	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	405	53	72	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	315	43	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	690	43	94	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	114	42	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	87	42	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	820	43	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	315	45	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	686	46	52	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	112	50	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	86	52	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	622	46	88	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N		Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	1187/907/667/419		87.9/92/91.8/92.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3.5	4	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	317/227/153/101		81.1/89/88.9/89.1%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	498/368/265/169		86.1/91.8/91.3/91.7%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	113/84/64/42		60.2/73.8/79.7/88.1%	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds	40/25/19/N<16		87.5/100/94.7/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	4341		0.8%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	828		19.5	20.1
Total	13.5	16	84.4%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	86.2	91.9	92.2	92.8
	2011	86.6	90.2	91	
	2012	91.4	93.8		
	2013	88.7			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	79.4	90.3	89.2	89.1
	2011	82.4	86.5	88.2	
	2012	84.7	89		
	2013	78.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83.7	90.6	91.8	91.7
	2011	84.2	90.4	90.4	
	2012	90.7	95.1		
	2013	87.7			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	64	85.7	84.1	88.1
	2011	65	65	70	
	2012	54.2	59.1		
	2013	52.6			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	86.2	91.9	92.2	92.8
	2011	86.6	90.2	91	
	2012	91.4	93.8		
	2013	88.7			
	Aggregated	87.9	92	91.8	92.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	79.4	90.3	89.2	89.1
	2011	82.4	86.5	88.2	
	2012	84.7	89		
	2013	78.5			
	Aggregated	81.1	89	88.9	89.1

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83.7	90.6	91.8	91.7
	2011	84.2	90.4	90.4	
	2012	90.7	95.1		
	2013	87.7			
	Aggregated	86.1	91.8	91.3	91.7

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	64	85.7	84.1	88.1
	2011	65	65	70	
	2012	54.2	59.1		
	2013	52.6			
	Aggregated	60.2	73.8	79.7	88.1

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	87.5	100	94.7	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: STETSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 8266

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²					
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 59%</td></tr></table>	Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 59%	Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	(16.7 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>
	Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned							
	Performance	at or above 59%							
	Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)	<div><div></div></div>				
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>					
Test Participation ³		Meets 95% Participation Rate							
TOTAL			66.7%	(66.7 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>				

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	295	-	-	295	295	-	-	295
Mathematics	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	294	-	-	294	295	-	-	295
Writing	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	294	-	-	294	295	-	-	295
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	98	-	-	98	98	-	-	98
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	104	-	-	104	104	-	-	104
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: STETSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 8266

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	273	71.43	49
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	272	72.79	54
Writing	3	4		Meets	272	61.4	64
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	173	52	27	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	173	54	45	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	171	50	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	66	50	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	30	85	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	35	73	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	66	55	50	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	26	86	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	49	47	83	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	65	47	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	30	85	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	64	56	66	No
Total	18	36	50%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: STETSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 8266

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <p>Plan Assignment</p> <p>Performance</p>	Framework Points Earned	Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
		Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)
		Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)
		Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
	at or above 59%	TOTAL	70.9%	(70.9 out of 100 points)	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	892	-	-	892	895	-	-	895
Mathematics	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	887	-	-	887	891	-	-	891
Writing	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	887	-	-	887	891	-	-	891
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	304	-	-	304	304	-	-	304
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	104	-	-	104	104	-	-	104
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: STETSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 8266

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	827	74.61	56
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	822	74.21	60
Writing	3	4		Meets	822	61.31	62
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	507	48	28	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	506	48	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	507	52	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	81	45	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	211	49	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	83	39	73	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	39	52	31	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	131	47	66	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	81	43	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	211	48	52	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	83	42	77	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	39	39	43	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	133	51	79	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	81	47	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	210	48	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	83	34	74	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	39	60	38	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	202	56	60	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: SKYVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL - 7960

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance	Performance Indicators		Rating		% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
	Academic Achievement		Meets		66.7%	(16.7 out of 25 points)
	Academic Growth		Meets		71.4%	(35.7 out of 50 points)
	Academic Growth Gaps		Approaching		58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)
	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate				
Plan Assignment		Framework Points Earned		TOTAL		
Performance		at or above 59%		67.0%		
Improvement		at or above 47% - below 59%		(67.0 out of 100 points)		
Priority Improvement		at or above 37% - below 47%				
Turnaround		below 37%				

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.2%	-	99.2%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1029	-	1029	-	1037	-	1037
Mathematics	-	99.7%	-	99.7%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1032	-	1032	-	1035	-	1035
Writing	-	99.3%	-	99.3%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1030	-	1030	-	1037	-	1037
Science	-	99.7%	-	99.7%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	306	-	306	-	307	-	307
Social Studies	-	99.4%	-	99.4%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	350	-	350	-	352	-	352
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

School: SKYVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL - 7960

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	971	71.06	49
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	974	55.65	56
Writing	3	4		Meets	971	60.76	56
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	911	45	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	913	41	64	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	913	51	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	21	68	66	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	392	50	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	89	56	79	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	71	61	34	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	235	49	67	No
Mathematics	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	392	42	69	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	88	33	98	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	71	35	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	336	44	91	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	392	55	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	90	41	87	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	72	53	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	375	51	75	No
Total	28	48	58.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: SKYVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL - 7960

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²			
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <p>Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned</p> <p>Performance at or above 59%</p>		Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>	
		Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(32.2 out of 50 points)	<div><div></div></div>	
		Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	53.3%	(13.3 out of 25 points)	<div><div></div></div>	
		Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate				
		TOTAL		64.3%	(64.3 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.4%	-	99.4%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	3105	-	3105	-	3124	-	3124
Mathematics	-	99.6%	-	99.6%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	3109	-	3109	-	3122	-	3122
Writing	-	99.3%	-	99.3%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	3103	-	3103	-	3124	-	3124
Science	-	99.6%	-	99.6%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1030	-	1030	-	1034	-	1034
Social Studies	-	99.4%	-	99.4%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	350	-	350	-	352	-	352
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: SKYVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL - 7960

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	2924	71.37	50
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2928	58.95	67
Writing	3	4		Meets	2924	60.94	55
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2710	44	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2718	46	62	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2710	45	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	21	68	66	Yes
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	504	42	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1131	45	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	247	53	77	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	195	59	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	690	46	65	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	503	42	71	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1133	46	69	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	245	35	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	195	48	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	937	47	91	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	505	38	50	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1128	47	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	247	41	87	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	196	53	50	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	971	45	77	No
Total	32	60	53.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: SPRINGS RANCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 8010

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(32.2 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		63.5%	(63.5 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	-	-	99.4%	Meets	-	-	Meets	315	-	-	315	317	-	-	317
Mathematics	99.4%	-	-	99.4%	Meets	-	-	Meets	317	-	-	317	319	-	-	319
Writing	99.1%	-	-	99.1%	Meets	-	-	Meets	315	-	-	315	318	-	-	318
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	95	-	-	95	95	-	-	95
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	114	-	-	114	114	-	-	114
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: SPRINGS RANCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 8010

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	311	74.28	55
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	311	74.92	59
Writing	3	4		Meets	310	60.32	62
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	196	52	30	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	196	44	42	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	196	49	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	35	42	27	Yes
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	84	53	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	25	48	52	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	42	52	58	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	84	44	51	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	34	54	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	37	48	76	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	84	48	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	30	64	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	75	54	58	No
Total	18	36	50%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: SPRINGS RANCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 8010

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(32.2 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	68.3%	(17.1 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		68.1%	(68.1 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	969	-	-	969	972	-	-	972
Mathematics	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	967	-	-	967	970	-	-	970
Writing	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	968	-	-	968	972	-	-	972
Science	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	308	-	-	308	309	-	-	309
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	114	-	-	114	114	-	-	114
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: SPRINGS RANCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 8010

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	936	78.21	65
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	935	76.68	66
Writing	3	4		Meets	934	62.42	65
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	588	56	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	587	42	41	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	587	55	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	35	42	27	Yes
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	91	46	36	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	237	57	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	41	66	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	49	75	34	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	124	60	57	Yes
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	91	42	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	237	43	49	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	45	68	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	49	58	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	115	47	76	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	91	57	44	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	237	58	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	35	71	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	49	75	44	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	230	56	59	No
Total	41	60	68.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

Performance

Improvement

Priority Improvement

Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

School: VISTA RIDGE HIGH SCHOOL - 8791

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year¹)

Performance		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²												
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Improvement</td><td>at or above 47% - below 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Priority Improvement</td><td>at or above 33% - below 47%</td></tr><tr><td>Turnaround</td><td>below 33%</td></tr></table> <p>Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.</p>		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 60%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 33%	Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
		Performance	at or above 60%													
		Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%													
		Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%													
Turnaround	below 33%															
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	85.0%	(29.8 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Test Participation ³		Meets 95% Participation Rate														
TOTAL			71.8%	(71.8 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>											

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	96.9%	96.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	664	664	-	-	685	685
Mathematics	-	-	97.5%	97.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	669	669	-	-	686	686
Writing	-	-	96.5%	96.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	661	661	-	-	685	685
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	267	267	-	-	269	269

School: VISTA RIDGE HIGH SCHOOL - 8791

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	630	72.38	46
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	636	37.42	60
Writing	3	4		Meets	628	55.41	60
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	598	48	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	605	41	82	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	599	45	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	249	49	18	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	51	42	86	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	39	64	49	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	173	51	70	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	252	42	91	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	53	42	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	39	42	93	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	309	39	99	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	250	50	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	51	44	97	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	39	57	70	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	232	46	86	No
Total	28	48	58.3%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	278/276/237/N<16	94.6/ 96.4 /91.6/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.75	3	91.7%	Exceeds			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	70/ 62 /55/N<16	90/ 95.2 /81.8/-%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	109/ 96 /94/N<16	93.6/ 97.9 /90.4/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	18/ 18 /17/N<16	61.1/ 88.9 /76.5/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	1424	0.6%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	267	18.8	20.0
Total	12.75	15	85%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	61.1	N<16	N<16
	2011	89.1	90.3	91.6	
	2012	95.3	96.4		
	2013	94.6			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	80.8	79.6	81.8	
	2012	90.6	95.2		
	2013	90			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	89.1	88.3	90.4	
	2012	94.9	97.9		
	2013	93.6			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	58.8	76.5	
	2012	93.8	88.9		
	2013	61.1			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	61.1	N<16	N<16
	2011	89.1	90.3	91.6	
	2012	95.3	96.4		
	2013	94.6			
	Aggregated	92.7	92.5	91.6	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	80.8	79.6	81.8	
	2012	90.6	95.2		
	2013	90			
	Aggregated	87.6	87.6	82	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	89.1	88.3	90.4	
	2012	94.9	97.9		
	2013	93.6			
	Aggregated	92.7	92.9	91	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	58.8	76.5	
	2012	93.8	88.9		
	2013	61.1			
	Aggregated	67.3	65.2	79.2	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	95.7	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%
	• at or above 47% - below 60%
	• at or above 33% - below 47%
	• below 33%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance		Performance Indicators		Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²											
<p>This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.</p> <table><tr><th>Plan Assignment</th><th>Framework Points Earned</th></tr><tr><td>Performance</td><td>at or above 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Improvement</td><td>at or above 47% - below 60%</td></tr><tr><td>Priority Improvement</td><td>at or above 33% - below 47%</td></tr><tr><td>Turnaround</td><td>below 33%</td></tr></table>		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Performance	at or above 60%	Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	Turnaround	below 33%	Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>
		Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned													
		Performance	at or above 60%													
		Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%													
		Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%													
Turnaround	below 33%															
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	46.7%	(7.0 out of 15 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	82.8%	(29.0 out of 35 points)	<div><div></div></div>												
		Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate														
		TOTAL		63.5%	(63.5 out of 100 points)	<div><div></div></div>										

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	97.8%	97.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1861	1861	-	-	1902	1902
Mathematics	-	-	98.4%	98.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1873	1873	-	-	1903	1903
Writing	-	-	97.9%	97.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1862	1862	-	-	1902	1902
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	786	786	-	-	795	795

School: VISTA RIDGE HIGH SCHOOL - 8791

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1767	69.55	42
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1780	34.33	57
Writing	3	4		Meets	1769	51.27	53
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	1672	47	16	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	1688	38	84	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1677	40	43	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<i>Reading</i>	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	212	47	28	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	701	48	21	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	119	40	89	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	101	55	50	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	510	46	71	No
<i>Mathematics</i>	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	213	34	94	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	709	36	92	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	122	41	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	101	37	98	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	881	37	99	No
<i>Writing</i>	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	212	39	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	703	45	48	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	119	42	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	102	51	76	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	679	44	88	No
Total	28	60	46.7%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	798/530/251/N<16	92.7/92.5/91.6/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3.25	4	81.3%	Meets			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	186/121/61/N<16	87.6/87.6/82/-%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	300/196/100/N<16	92.7/92.9/91/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching	52/46/24/N<16	67.3/65.2/79.2/-%	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds	23/N<16/N<16/N<16	95.7/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	4220	0.6%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	786	19.1	20.1
Total	13.25	16	82.8%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	61.1	N<16	N<16
	2011	89.1	90.3	91.6	
	2012	95.3	96.4		
	2013	94.6			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	80.8	79.6	81.8	
	2012	90.6	95.2		
	2013	90			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	89.1	88.3	90.4	
	2012	94.9	97.9		
	2013	93.6			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	58.8	76.5	
	2012	93.8	88.9		
	2013	61.1			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	61.1	N<16	N<16
	2011	89.1	90.3	91.6	
	2012	95.3	96.4		
	2013	94.6			
	Aggregated	92.7	92.5	91.6	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	80.8	79.6	81.8	
	2012	90.6	95.2		
	2013	90			
	Aggregated	87.6	87.6	82	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	89.1	88.3	90.4	
	2012	94.9	97.9		
	2013	93.6			
	Aggregated	92.7	92.9	91	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	58.8	76.5	
	2012	93.8	88.9		
	2013	61.1			
	Aggregated	67.3	65.2	79.2	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	95.7	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4-year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						
	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.8%	(13.2 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		65.4%	(65.4 out of 100 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	-	-	99.5%	Meets	-	-	Meets	369	-	-	369	371	-	-	371
Mathematics	99.2%	-	-	99.2%	Meets	-	-	Meets	371	-	-	371	374	-	-	374
Writing	98.9%	-	-	98.9%	Meets	-	-	Meets	370	-	-	370	374	-	-	374
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	130	-	-	130	130	-	-	130
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	134	-	-	134	134	-	-	134
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: WOODMEN HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 9706

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (1 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	342	79.82	70
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	341	79.47	69
Writing	3	4		Meets	341	61	63
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	220	46	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	221	39	37	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	222	45	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	54	58	23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	28	46	47	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	35	70	62	Yes
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	54	40	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	21	55	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	34	77	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	54	46	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	39	63	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	76	47	58	No
Total	19	36	52.8%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²		
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(29.2 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.1%	(13.0 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		61.0%	(61.0 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	1112	-	-	1112	1115	-	-	1115
Mathematics	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	1113	-	-	1113	1117	-	-	1117
Writing	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	1115	-	-	1115	1120	-	-	1120
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	371	-	-	371	371	-	-	371
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	134	-	-	134	134	-	-	134
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: WOODMEN HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 9706

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	1039	82.19	76
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1038	83.24	81
Writing	3	4		Meets	1038	63.68	67
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	653	40	22	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	654	41	37	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	653	47	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	73	33	25	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	159	42	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	76	43	47	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	98	48	58	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	72	50	42	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	160	41	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	31	55	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	72	37	74	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	72	47	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	159	47	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	74	40	61	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	222	50	56	No
Total	25	48	52.1%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP		16 (4 for each content area)	25
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	50
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	25
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%
	• at or above 47% - below 59%
	• at or above 37% - below 47%
	• below 37%

School Plan Type Assignments

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

2014 Accreditation Summary

	Academic Achievement	Academic Growth	Academic Growth Gaps	Postsecondary Workforce Readiness	Accreditation Rating	Percentage Points
District 49	Meets	Meets	Approaching	Meets	Accredited	70.4
Evans	Approaching	Approaching	Approaching		Improvement	52.7
Falcon Elementary	Approaching	Meets	Approaching		Performance	64.1
Meridian Ranch	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	74
Odyssey	Approaching	Meets	Meets		Performance	64
Remington	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	75.1
Ridgeview	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	70.9
Stetson	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	70.9
Springs Ranch	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	68.1
Woodmen Hills	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	65.4
Falcon	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	64.7
Horizon	Approaching	Meets	Approaching		Performance	64.4
Skyview	Meets	Meets	Approaching		Performance	67
Falcon High	Meets	Meets	Approaching	Meets	Performance	72.4
Sand Creek	Meets	Meets	Approaching	Meets	Performance	71.3
Vista Ridge	Meets	Meets	Approaching	Meets	Performance	71.8
Banning Lewis	Meets	Exceeds	Meets		Performance	79.5
Falcon Virtual Academy	Approaching	Approaching	Approaching	Meets	Performance	66.8
GOAL Academy (AEC Framework)	Meets	Approaching	Student Engagement - Meets	Meets	Improvement	59.2
Imagine Indigo Ranch	Meets	Approaching	Approaching		Performance	60.3

Patriot Learning Center (AEC Framework)	Approaching	Approaching	Student Engagement - Meets	Meets	Performance	68.9
Pikes Peak Expeditionary	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	82.4
Rocky Mt. Classical	Meets	Meets	Meets		Performance	76.8

School: PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER - 6810

District: FALCON 49 - 1110

AEC: Performance

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. The plan type is based on the overall AEC framework score, which is a percentage of the total points earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Type Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For AECs with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 30 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness, and 20 for Student Engagement.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible	Total Points Earned	Total Points Eligible	Weighted Points Earned	Weighted Points Eligible
Academic Achievement	Approaching	52.5%	21	40	7.9	15
Academic Growth	Approaching	60.0%	24	40	21	35
Student Engagement	Meets	75.0%	12	16	15	20
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	10	12	25	30
TOTAL	AEC: Performance	68.9%			68.9	100

Alternative Education Campus (AEC) School Performance Framework Reports: Overview

All Colorado schools receive a School Performance Framework (SPF) report from the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) that annually reviews the performance of the public schools in the state. The SPF report determines the plan type that the school must adopt and implement.

Some schools have specialized missions and are designated as Alternative Education Campuses (AECs). These schools serve a student population where either: (1) all students have severe limitations that preclude appropriate administration of the state assessments, (2) all students attend on a part-time basis and come from other public schools where the part-time students are counted in the enrollment of the other public school, or (3) more than 95% of the students have either an Individual Education Program and/or meet the definition of a high-risk student, as defined in the Educational Accountability Act of 2009.

Alternative Education Campuses receive a SPF report as all traditional schools do; however, they also receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines their plan type. This AEC SPF report takes into account the unique purposes of the schools and the unique circumstances of the challenges posed by the students enrolled in the schools. The AEC SPF includes the required state measures defined in the indicators below, but may also include optional additional measures. These additional measures must be approved by CDE, but are selected by the district, with results provided by the district. Where available, three years of data are reported.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement
The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. For AECs, this Indicator includes results from TCAP and CoAlt (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura. AECs may also include additional optional measures that reflect academic achievement, as approved by CDE.

Academic Growth
The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. For AECs, this Indicator reflects median student growth percentiles: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar TCAP score history in that subject area. AECs may also include additional optional measures that reflect academic growth, as approved by CDE.

Student Engagement
The Student Engagement Indicator reflects a student's sense of belonging, safety and involvement in school that leads to academic achievement, regular attendance, and postsecondary and workforce success. For AECs, this Indicator includes (1) average daily attendance and (2) truancy rates. AECs may also include additional optional measures that reflect student engagement, as approved by CDE.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness
The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. For AECs, this Indicator reflects student completion rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores. AECs may also include additional optional measures that reflect postsecondary and workforce readiness, as approved by CDE.

School: PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER - 6810

District: FALCON 49 - 1110

Results by School Level

The data on this page reflects the overall indicator ratings and results disaggregated for each applicable school level (elementary, middle, and high). These ratings roll up into overall performance indicator ratings and an overall plan type for the school. See page 1 for this school's overall results.

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL LEVEL						
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible	Total Points Earned	Total Points Eligible	Weighted Points Earned	Weighted Points Eligible
Academic Achievement						
Academic Growth						
Student Engagement						
TOTAL						
MIDDLE SCHOOL LEVEL						
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible	Total Points Earned	Total Points Eligible	Weighted Points Earned	Weighted Points Eligible
Academic Achievement	Meets	65%	13	20	13	20
Academic Growth	Approaching	60%	12	20	30	50
Student Engagement	Meets	75%	6	8	22.5	30
TOTAL		65.5%			65.5	100
HIGH SCHOOL LEVEL						
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible	Total Points Earned	Total Points Eligible	Weighted Points Earned	Weighted Points Eligible
Academic Achievement	Approaching	40%	8	20	6	15
Academic Growth	Approaching	60%	12	20	21	35
Student Engagement	Meets	75%	6	8	15	20
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	10	12	25	30
TOTAL		67%			67	100

PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER

TCAP/CSAP Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	3	4	Meets	137	42.34%	83
Mathematics	3	4	Meets	137	23.36%	83
Writing	3	4	Meets	137	25.55%	87
Science	0	0	-	-	-	-

TCAP/CSAP Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?	School's Percentile
Reading	2	4	Approaching	130	38	49	No	50
Mathematics	3	4	Meets	130	43	89	No	82
Writing	3	4	Meets	130	39	71	No	66

Student Engagement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	Rate/Score	School's Percentile
Average Daily Attendance	3	4	Meets	89.95	80
Truancy	3	4	Meets	3.23	81

AEC Required State Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Academic Achievement and Growth

Academic Achievement on TCAP/CSAP		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject			
To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's percent of students scoring proficient and advanced was:		Reading	Math	Writing	Science
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	46.66	34.04	32.12	-
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	21.44	9.96	16.68	-
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	15.46	6.2	8.38	-
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs				

Academic Growth on TCAP/CSAP		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject		
To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's median percentile was:		Reading	Math	Writing
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	58	57.6	52.8
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	43.2	31.8	35.8
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	35.6	25	34
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs			

Student Engagement Measures		Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure	
To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's Rate/Score was:		Average Daily Attendance	Truancy
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	93.1	0.53
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	86.46	7.69
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	81.34	12.1
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs		

Data reported on this page reflect the required state measures for the AECs

PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER

TCAP/CSAP Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	3	4	Meets	82	36.59%	61
Mathematics	1	4	Does Not Meet	99	1.01%	31
Writing	2	4	Approaching	80	11.25%	43
Science	0	0	-	-	-	-

TCAP/CSAP Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?	School's Percentile
Reading	3	4	Meets	77	49	72	No	73
Mathematics	2	4	Approaching	90	36	99	No	47
Writing	3	4	Meets	75	46	95	No	70

AEC Required State Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Academic Achievement and Growth

Academic Achievement on TCAP/CSAP		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject			
To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's percent of students scoring proficient and advanced was:		Reading	Math	Writing	Science
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	54.8	9.4	28.6	-
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	35.4	4.4	14.6	-
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	26.7	2	10	-
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs				

Academic Growth on TCAP/CSAP		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject		
To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's median growth percentile was:		Reading	Math	Writing
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	57.4	50.6	57.2
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	46.8	42	43.4
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	41.2	33.6	38.6
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs			

Data reported on this page reflect the required state measures for the AECs

PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER

Student Engagement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	Rate/Score	School's Percentile
Average Daily Attendance	3	4	Meets	89.95	80
Truancy	3	4	Meets	3.23	81

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Rate/Score	School's Percentile
Completion Rate: Best of 4/5/6/7yr	4	4	Exceeds	96	83.3	95
Dropout Rate	3	4	Meets	893	4.6	88
Colorado ACT Composite	3	4	Meets	150	16.3	86

Colorado calculates “on-time” completion rate as the percent of students completing high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade.

For the AEC performance framework, schools earn points based on the highest value among the aggregated completion rates. The aggregated completion rates are the result of adding the completion totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

Completion Rates for this AEC					
Anticipated Year of Graduation/ Completion		4-Year	5-Year	6-Year	7-Year
	2010	56.2	73.3	83.5	83.3
	2011	56.8	72.3	77.1	
	2012	62.4	86.7		
	2013	67			
	Aggregated	61	77.9	80.5	83.3

AEC Required State Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Student Engagement and Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

Student Engagement Measures

Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure

To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's Rate/Score was:		Average Daily Attendance	Truancy
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	93.1	0.53
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	86.46	7.69
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	81.34	12.1
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs		

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure

To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's Rate/Score was:		Completion Rate	Dropout Rate	Colorado ACT
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	72	4.5	16.6
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	55.8	11.3	15.5
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	44.8	17.6	14.9
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs			

Data reported on this page reflect the required state measures for the AECs

Scantron	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Result
Reading	2	4	Approaching	48	45.8
Mathematics	2	4	Approaching	53	50.9
	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Result

Scantron		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject	
To receive the indicator rating, the percent of students increasing at least one grade level was:		Reading	Mathematics
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above 90 percent of students	90	90
Meets AEC norms	below 90 percent but at or above 60 percent of students	60	60
Approaching AEC norms	below 60 percent but at or above 40 percent of students	40	40
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below 40 percent of students		

To receive the indicator rating,
Exceeds AEC norms
Meets AEC norms
Approaching AEC norms
Does Not Meet AEC norms

Page 6

PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER

Scantron	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Result
Reading	1	4	Does Not Meet	33	27.3
Mathematics	1	4	Does Not Meet	54	13

AEC Optional Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Academic Achievement

Scantron		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject	
To receive the indicator rating, the percent of students scoring at grade level was:		Reading	Mathematics
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above 90 percent of students	90	90
Meets AEC norms	below 90 percent but at or above 60 percent of students	60	60
Approaching AEC norms	below 60 percent but at or above 40 percent of students	40	40
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below 40 percent of students		
		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject	
To receive the indicator rating,			
Exceeds AEC norms			
Meets AEC norms			
Approaching AEC norms			
Does Not Meet AEC norms			

Data reported on this page reflect the optional measures selected and provided by the district, and approved by CDE.

PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER

Scantron	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Result
Reading	2	4	Approaching	50	50
Mathematics	2	4	Approaching	46	52.2

AEC Optional Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Academic Growth

Scantron		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject	
To receive the indicator rating, the percent of students achieving their target growth was:		Reading	Mathematics
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above 90 percent of students	90	90
Meets AEC norms	below 90 percent but at or above 60 percent of students	60	60
Approaching AEC norms	below 60 percent but at or above 40 percent of students	40	40
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below 40 percent of students		
		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject	
To receive the indicator rating,			
Exceeds AEC norms			
Meets AEC norms			
Approaching AEC norms			
Does Not Meet AEC norms			

Data reported on this page reflect the optional measures selected and provided by the district, and approved by CDE.

PATRIOT LEARNING CENTER

Scantron	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Result
Reading	2	4	Approaching	37	40.5
Mathematics	2	4	Approaching	36	41.7

AEC Optional Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Academic Growth

Scantron		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject	
To receive the indicator rating, the percent of students achieving their target growth was:		Reading	Mathematics
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above 90 percent of students	90	90
Meets AEC norms	below 90 percent but at or above 60 percent of students	60	60
Approaching AEC norms	below 60 percent but at or above 40 percent of students	40	40
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below 40 percent of students		
		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject	
To receive the indicator rating,			
Exceeds AEC norms			
Meets AEC norms			
Approaching AEC norms			
Does Not Meet AEC norms			

Data reported on this page reflect the optional measures selected and provided by the district, and approved by CDE.

School: GOAL ACADEMY - 3475

District: FALCON 49 - 1110

AEC: Improvement

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. The plan type is based on the overall AEC framework score, which is a percentage of the total points earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Type Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For AECs with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 30 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness, and 20 for Student Engagement.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible	Total Points Earned	Total Points Eligible	Weighted Points Earned	Weighted Points Eligible
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	9	12	11.3	15
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	5	12	14.6	35
Student Engagement	Meets	68.8%	11	16	13.8	20
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.0%	13	20	19.5	30
TOTAL	AEC: Improvement	59.2%			59.2	100

Alternative Education Campus (AEC) School Performance Framework Reports: Overview

All Colorado schools receive a School Performance Framework (SPF) report from the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) that annually reviews the performance of the public schools in the state. The SPF report determines the plan type that the school must adopt and implement.

Some schools have specialized missions and are designated as Alternative Education Campuses (AECs). These schools serve a student population where either: (1) all students have severe limitations that preclude appropriate administration of the state assessments, (2) all students attend on a part-time basis and come from other public schools where the part-time students are counted in the enrollment of the other public school, or (3) more than 95% of the students have either an Individual Education Program and/or meet the definition of a high-risk student, as defined in the Educational Accountability Act of 2009.

Alternative Education Campuses receive a SPF report as all traditional schools do; however, they also receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines their plan type. This AEC SPF report takes into account the unique purposes of the schools and the unique circumstances of the challenges posed by the students enrolled in the schools. The AEC SPF includes the required state measures defined in the indicators below, but may also include optional additional measures. These additional measures must be approved by CDE, but are selected by the district, with results provided by the district. Where available, three years of data are reported.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. For AECs, this Indicator includes results from TCAP and CoAlt (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura. AECs may also include additional optional measures that reflect academic achievement, as approved by CDE.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. For AECs, this Indicator reflects median student growth percentiles: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar TCAP score history in that subject area. AECs may also include additional optional measures that reflect academic growth, as approved by CDE.

Student Engagement

The Student Engagement Indicator reflects a student's sense of belonging, safety and involvement in school that leads to academic achievement, regular attendance, and postsecondary and workforce success. For AECs, this Indicator includes (1) average daily attendance and (2) truancy rates. AECs may also include additional optional measures that reflect student engagement, as approved by CDE.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. For AECs, this Indicator reflects student completion rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores. AECs may also include additional optional measures that reflect postsecondary and workforce readiness, as approved by CDE.

GOAL ACADEMY

TCAP/CSAP Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	3	4	Meets	1325	41.28%	68
Mathematics	3	4	Meets	1338	4.78%	63
Writing	3	4	Meets	1328	19.13%	79
Science	0	0	-	-	-	-

TCAP/CSAP Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?	School's Percentile
Reading	2	4	Approaching	1047	42	64	No	48
Mathematics	1	4	Does Not Meet	1066	31	99	No	27
Writing	2	4	Approaching	1057	40	93	No	43

AEC Required State Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Academic Achievement and Growth

Academic Achievement on TCAP/CSAP		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject			
To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's percent of students scoring proficient and advanced was:		Reading	Math	Writing	Science
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	54.8	9.4	28.6	-
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	35.4	4.4	14.6	-
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	26.7	2	10	-
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs				

Academic Growth on TCAP/CSAP		Cut-point values for AEC norms by subject		
To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's median growth percentile was:		Reading	Math	Writing
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	57.4	50.6	57.2
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	46.8	42	43.4
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	41.2	33.6	38.6
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs			

Data reported on this page reflect the required state measures for the AECs

GOAL ACADEMY

Student Engagement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	Rate/Score	School's Percentile
Average Daily Attendance	3	4	Meets	88.92	74
Truancy	2	4	Approaching	11.08	41

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Rate/Score	School's Percentile
Completion Rate: Best of 4/5/6/7yr	1	4	Does Not Meet	379	43.8	38
Dropout Rate	2	4	Approaching	6843	15.7	46
Colorado ACT Composite	3	4	Meets	784	16.4	88

Colorado calculates “on-time” completion rate as the percent of students completing high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade.

For the AEC performance framework, schools earn points based on the highest value among the aggregated completion rates. The aggregated completion rates are the result of adding the completion totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

		Completion Rates for this AEC			
		4-Year	5-Year	6-Year	7-Year
Anticipated Year of Graduation/ Completion	2010	29.5	27.3	38.9	43.8
	2011	22.5	32.6	41.2	
	2012	22.4	35.3		
	2013	28.8			
	Aggregated	25.6	32.7	40.4	43.8

AEC Required State Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Student Engagement and Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

Student Engagement Measures		Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure	
To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's Rate/Score was:		Average Daily Attendance	Truancy
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	93.1	0.53
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	86.46	7.69
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	81.34	12.1
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs		

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure		
To receive the indicator rating, the AEC's Rate/Score was:		Completion Rate	Dropout Rate	Colorado ACT
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above the 90th percentile of all AECs	72	4.5	16.6
Meets AEC norms	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 60th percentile of all AECs	55.8	11.3	15.5
Approaching AEC norms	below the 60th percentile but at or above the 40th percentile of all AECs	44.8	17.6	14.9
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below the 40th percentile of all AECs			

Data reported on this page reflect the required state measures for the AECs

GOAL ACADEMY

Student Engagement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Result
Returning Student Rate	3	4	Meets	1509	72.8
Student Re-engagement Rate	3	4	Meets	347	61.7

AEC Optional Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Student Engagement

Returning Student Rate		Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure	
To receive the indicator rating, the criteria for returning student rate was:			
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above 90 percent of students		90.0
Meets AEC norms	below 90 percent but at or above 60 percent of students		60.0
Approaching AEC norms	below 60 percent but at or above 40 percent of students		40.0
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below 40 percent of students		

Student Re-engagement Rate		Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure	
To receive the indicator rating, the criteria for student re-engagement was:			
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above 90 percent of students		90
Meets AEC norms	below 90 percent but at or above 60 percent of students		60
Approaching AEC norms	below 60 percent but at or above 40 percent of students		40
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below 40 percent of students		

		Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure	
To receive the indicator rating,			
Exceeds AEC norms			
Meets AEC norms			
Approaching AEC norms			
Does Not Meet AEC norms			

Data reported on this page reflect the optional measures selected and provided by the district, and approved by CDE.

GOAL ACADEMY

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	Rating	N	Result
Workforce Readiness	3	4	Meets	326	87.4
Concurrent Enrollment (CCE) Completion Rate	4	4	Exceeds	651	93.2

Colorado calculates “on-time” graduation rate as the percent of students graduating high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade.

For the AEC performance framework, schools earn points based on the highest value among the aggregated graduation rates. The aggregated graduation rates are the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years.

		Graduation Rates for this AEC			
		4-Year	5-Year	6-Year	7-Year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	2012				
	2013				
	Aggregated				

AEC Optional Measures Established Norms and Cut-Points: Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

Workforce Readiness		Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure
To receive the indicator rating, the percent of students to meet or exceed readiness benchmark was:		
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above 90 percent of students	90
Meets AEC norms	below 90 percent but at or above 60 percent of students	60
Approaching AEC norms	below 60 percent but at or above 40 percent of students	40
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below 40 percent of students	
Concurrent Enrollment (CCE) Completion Rate		Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure
To receive the indicator rating, % of CCE courses completed with college credit earned was:		
Exceeds AEC norms	at or above 90 percent of courses	90
Meets AEC norms	below 90 percent but at or above 60 percent of courses	60
Approaching AEC norms	below 60 percent but at or above 40 percent of courses	40
Does Not Meet AEC norms	below 40 percent of courses	
		Cut-point values for AEC norms by measure
To receive the indicator rating,		
Exceeds AEC norms		
Meets AEC norms		
Approaching AEC norms		
Does Not Meet AEC norms		

Data reported on this page reflect the optional measures selected and provided by the district, and approved by CDE.

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.02

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Heather Diaz, Finance Department
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Selection of Official Survey Date for Impact Aid
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: Each year, the District must set an official survey date for Impact Aid – Public Law 103-382. This survey determines where students are connected to other federal programs; in our case, largely the US Military. The District receives a federal revenue subsidy each year that is based on this student count. In general, there is a delay in the count data and the dollars that are remitted related to that count. So, while this particular count technically has no impact on the current year budget, it will have an impact on the 2015-2016 budget and so is still a very import exercise.

RATIONALE: Monday, October 27, 2014 is the recommended date for this year. Being a Monday, it is believed that this will give schools an opportunity to distribute the forms to the students and the families will have that week as well as the weekend to complete the form and return them early the following week. The final due date will be Tuesday, November 25, 2014.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Our process for impact aid tracking has evolved over the last couple of years to be much more rigorous and we believe that that effort will result in better funding results in coming years. In addition, we had a review by Impact Aid auditors this past year and they are assisting in the design of the form to ensure we have complete information for their purposes.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	<i>Clarity and transparency in financial management strategies and decisions.</i>
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	<i>Impact Aid is a community participation item in that we are asking the community for their assistance and they have the direct ability, then, to impact a revenue stream available to the District.</i>
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	.

FUNDING REQUIRED: Yes

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to approve Monday, October 27, 2014 as the Official Impact Aid Survey Date for the 2014-15 school year.

APPROVED BY: Brett Ridgway, Chief Business Officer

DATE: September 19, 2014

Falcon School District #49
Impact Aid Program Survey Form
The survey date is: October 27, 2014



All boxes must be filled in with complete information if applicable

STUDENT INFORMATION

Student's Last Name	First Name	M.I.	Date of Birth	Grade	School Name
Address		City		State	Zip Code
If the above property is a federal property, enter the name of the property.		Name of federal property			

Fill in the above boxes with complete and accurate information

PARENT/GUARDIAN EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION: CIVILIAN

Enter information in this section regarding the parent/guardian if 1) neither parent/guardian with whom the student resided was on active duty in the Uniformed Services of the United States <i>and</i> 2) either parent/guardian with whom the student resided was employed on federal property, <i>or</i> 3) either the parent/guardian reported to work on federal property <i>on the survey date</i> . Enter the parent/guardian's name as it appears on the employer's payroll record.				
Parent/Guardian's Last Name	First Name and M.I.	Name of Parent/Guardian's Employer		
Address of Parent/Guardian's Employer		City	State	Zip Code
Name of federal property				
Address of federal property		City	State	Zip Code

Fill in the above boxes with complete and accurate information

PARENT/GUARDIAN EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION: UNIFORMED SERVICES

Enter information in this section regarding the parent/guardian if either person was on active duty in the Uniformed Services of the United States <i>on the survey date</i> .			
Parent/Guardian's Last Name	First Name and M.I.	Branch of Service	Rank

Fill in the above boxes with complete and accurate information

PARENT/GUARDIAN EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION: FOREIGN MILITARY

Enter information in this section regarding the parent/guardian if either person was both an accredited foreign government official and a foreign military officer <i>on the survey date</i> .			
Parent/Guardian's Last Name	First Name and M.I.	Branch of Service	Rank
Name of Foreign Government			

Fill in the above boxes with complete and accurate information

This information is the basis for payment to your school district of federal funds under the Impact Aid Program (Title VIII of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act), and *may* be provided to the U.S. Department of Education *if* your school district's application for payment is audited. This form *must* be signed and dated for your school district to receive funds based on this information.

*** By signing this form, I am certifying that all typed and written information on this form is accurate and complete as of the survey date.**

→ Signature of Parent/Guardian _____ → Date _____

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.03

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Sean Dorsey, Zone Leader
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Before and After School Program Job Description-Before and After School Program Manager
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The Sand Creek Zone is in the midst of implementing a before and after school program to serve the families in the Zone. A new job description has been developed and now requires Board approval.

RATIONALE: Kids' Corner Before and After School Program provides quality before and after school care on scheduled school days, as well as during early release and assessment/professional development days to working families that require our services. In an effort to continue to enhance the level of service to patrons, Kids' Corner requires a dedicated program manager.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Kids Corner is a self-sustained program with revenue paying for personnel.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best</u> district in Colorado to learn, work and lead	<u>X</u>
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	<u>X</u>

FUNDING REQUIRED: Yes

AMOUNT BUDGETED: Professional/Technical Range 1-salary paid through tuition revenue

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move to approve the Before and After School Program Manager job description as recommended by the administration.


APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, CEO

DATE: September 26, 2014

Before and After School Program Manager

Job Title:	Before and After School Program Manager
Job Code:	
Initial:	
Revised:	
Work Year:	261 Days – Full-Time/Full-Year
Office:	Zone Operations
Department:	Sand Creek Zone
Reports To:	Innovation Zone Leader/Zone Superintendent
FLSA Status:	Exempt
Pay Range:	Professional Technical Range 1

Related Organization Chart



SUMMARY:

The Before and After School Program Manager is directly accountable for all operational aspects of a group of sites including, ensuring quality care and education for children; achievement of financial targets; applying rigorous, proactive cost controls; incorporating active continuous improvement of quality of operations; demonstrating results in employee development and contribution; delivering excellent customer service by conducting instructor observations and providing prompt feedback; developing and retaining business; keeping accurate records of student attendance and program results; and ensuring legal compliance.

ESSENTIAL DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES:

The following statements of duties and responsibilities describe the general nature and level of work being performed by the leader filling this position. These statements are not an exhaustive list of all duties and responsibilities required by this position.

- Maintains positive communication with parents. Recognizes parent concerns, evaluates courses of action and responds professionally to the needs of the parents.
- Models and fosters an environment of customer service, strengthening partnerships with teachers, educational specialists, school/district administrators, and parent/teacher organizations.
- Actively partners with school personnel, zone leader, and principal to create marketing strategies that maximize utilization and enrollment. Responds to all enrollment inquiries and provides facility tours and detailed information about the program.
- Consistently grows the business with new enrollment per location and retention.
- Visits each program at least twice per month to ensure quality programming. Provides necessary feedback to site staff and helps devise site action plans when necessary.
- Ensures compliances with all Falcon School District 49 department procedures.

-
- Ensures compliance with Falcon School District 49 contract and state regulations regarding the care of children. Keeps supervisor informed of all necessary information regarding the care and safety of children.
 - Ensures appropriate and effective staffing of program. Anticipates staffing needs and prepares staffing schedule to ensure that state regulations are met at all times. Leads interviewing process and makes hiring recommendations.
 - Orients and trains all program staff to ensure staff comply with all applicable federal and state laws, company policies and procedures, proper implementation of curriculum, and licensing requirements when necessary.
 - Manages staff performance, including setting expectations, providing corrective feedback and performing evaluations per District policy and procedures.
 - Tracks all monetary transactions with clients and ensures that all related record keeping requirements are met. Enforces company tuition requirements and appropriately imposes policy regarding non-payment of tuition.
 - Achieves financial results. Maintains and has knowledge of budget analysis, expense reviews, P&L analysis, and general ledger reconciliations. Makes necessary changes by flexing personnel and variable cost expenses to the revenue generation.
 - Performs other duties as assigned.

EDUCATION AND TRAINING:

- Four (4) year college degree with a major in recreation, education with a specialty in art, elementary or early childhood education, or a subject in the human field or
- Two (2) years of college training and six (6) months of satisfactory and verifiable full-time experience in the care and supervision of 4 or more children or
- Three (3) year of satisfactory and verifiable full-time experience in the care and supervision of 4 or more children. Must complete six (6) semester hours, nine clock hours in course work from regionally accredited college or university or 40 clock hours of training in course work applicable to school-age children within the first 9 months of employment.

SKILLS and KNOWLEDGE:

- Must be qualified by demonstrated knowledge, training and experience to fulfill the responsibilities of the program. This will include but not be limited to: outstanding interpersonal skills, customer service skills and extensive knowledge of financial management skills.

CERTIFICATES, LICENSES, & REGISTRATIONS:

- Criminal background check required for hire.
- Driver license with specific endorsements, if needed, for van and/or short bus driving
- Valid auto insurance
- First aid and CPR within first month of employment

MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT OPERATING KNOWLEDGE:

- General office equipment
- Equipment specific to a before and after school site program

SUPERVISION AND TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITIES:

- Will oversee site staff at various locations. Evaluation of staff in collaboration with Zone Leader/Zone Superintendent to be performed one time per year at a minimum. Coaching and strength building within Kids Corner staff to be done on an ongoing basis.

PHYSICAL DEMANDS:

The physical demands, work environment factors, and mental functions described below are representative of those that must be met by an employee to successfully perform the essential functions of this job. The district may make reasonable accommodations to enable individuals with disabilities to perform the essential functions.

Must be capable of bending, crouching or kneeling at children's level. Must be able to lift children weighing up to 50 (fifty) pounds. Must be able to reach at and above shoulder height. Must be capable of frequent changes of positions throughout work shift. Must be able to walk with children and play sitting and outdoor games with children. Must be willing to drive a van or short bus if needed during summer and school breaks.

WORK ENVIRONMENT:

- Must be able to travel to each location in personal vehicle
- Must be able to sit for long periods of time or stand for periods at a time
- Must be able to give direction to all site staff and act in a lead role
- Noise level at various sites may be moderate to noisy at times

MENTAL FUNCTIONS:

While performing the duties of this job, the employee is regularly required to compare, analyze, communicate, copy, coordinate, instruct, compute, synthesize, evaluate, use interpersonal skills, compile and negotiate.

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.04

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Mary Perez, Director of Concurrent Enrollment
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Concurrent Enrollment
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: In the Spring of 2014, District 49 decided to provide increased access to Concurrent Enrollment as another excellent academic and career preparation option for our district high school students. Our intent is to fully implement Concurrent Enrollment in the Fall of 2015, with a pilot enrollment in the Spring of 2014. District and zone leaders have presented initial concurrent enrollment overview presentations and discussed implementation implications with high school and middle school leadership, counselors, and some teachers.

RATIONALE: The Director of Concurrent Enrollment provided an overview and proposed implementation timeline for D49 Concurrent Enrollment. The leadership recommends we update key policies IHEDA, IHEDA R-1, IHEDA R-2, and IKCA to reflect the proposed plan to implement Concurrent Enrollment in all district high schools.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Approval of the following policies:

- **IHEDA Concurrent Enrollment**
- **IHEDA-R-1 Concurrent Enrollment**
- **IHEDA-R-2 ASCENT**
- **IKCA Weighted Grading**

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	Concurrent Enrollment Third Party Billing will provide detailed spending of district funds for early college tuition & fees for all qualified students participating in the program. Concurrent Enrollment will save students & families much money & time as D49 directs PPR funding to pay for early college opportunities and as students earn college (and HS) credits which will transfer to 4 year universities or accumulate toward professional certifications and associate degree plans.
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	Concurrent Enrollment will open more opportunities for our homeschool community students to participate in D49. Concurrent Enrollment will open opportunities for shared educational spaces with Institutions of Higher Education, providing convenient postsecondary learning opportunities on our high school campuses for our adult learning community.
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best</u> district in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Concurrent Enrollment, along with CTE and AVP, will provide competitive college, career, and financial advantages for our students and their families. Concurrent Enrollment will also add a competitive element to our D49 program offerings, which will attract excellent teachers, counselors, administrators, and families to our district and to the new postsecondary model.
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	As our schools partner more carefully with local colleges, our schools will increasingly offer the level of rigor and motivation



BOE Regular Meeting October 9, 2014
Item 7.04 continued

	that truly prepare students to thrive in college and careers.
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	High school will become more relevant to students as they realize through ICAP advising and their participation in individualized course planning, that their specific career and college goals belong to them. Concurrent Enrollment provides a safe place to learn how to become a college student, with support from the District and home.

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to approve policies in item 7.04 as recommended by the administration.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, Chief Education Officer

DATE: September 24, 2014



BOARD-APPROVED POLICY OF DISTRICT 49

Title	Post-Secondary Options/ Concurrent Enrollment
Designation	IHCDA
Office/Custodian	Education/Director of Concurrent Enrollment

The Board believes that students who ~~are capable of and~~ wish to pursue college- **postsecondary** level work while in high school should be permitted to do so. **In accordance with this policy and accompanying graduation requirements for successful completion of approved postsecondary courses offered by institutions of higher education.**

~~There are two options in state law available to high school students meeting specified criteria for post-secondary study. Any student participating in either of these programs shall be granted a high school diploma upon evidence of completion of the required academic work.~~

This policy and accompanying regulation do not apply to students seeking to enroll in postsecondary courses pursuant to the Accelerating Students through Concurrent Enrollment (ASCENT) program or a “dropout recovery program” pursuant to the Concurrent Enrollment Programs Act (the Act). Students seeking to enroll in the ASCENT program or a dropout recovery program shall work with district administrators and meet the Act’s applicable requirements.

Definitions

For purposes of this policy and accompanying regulation, the following definitions shall apply:

“Concurrent enrollment” means the simultaneous enrollment of a qualified student in a district high school and in one or more postsecondary courses at an institution of higher education.

“Qualified student” means a person who is less than 21 years of age and is enrolled in the 9th grade or higher grade level and demonstrates both college readiness and personal readiness for postsecondary coursework.

“Postsecondary course” means a course offered by an institution of higher education and includes coursework resulting in the acquisition of a certificate; an associate degree of applied sciences, general studies, arts, or science; and all baccalaureate degree programs.

“Institution of higher education” means:

- A state university or college, community college, junior college, or area vocational school as described in title 23, C.R.S.;
- A postsecondary career and technical education program that offers postsecondary courses and is approved by the state board for community colleges and occupational education pursuant to applicable state law; and
- An educational institution operating in Colorado that meets the Act’s specified criteria.

Eligibility

Qualified students seeking to enroll in postsecondary courses at the district’s expense and receive high school credit for such courses shall follow the procedure(s) accompanying this policy.

~~4. Post-secondary program~~

Any 11th- or 12th-grade student who is under the age of 21 shall be eligible to apply to an institution of higher education for enrollment through the post-secondary options program if he or she:

- ~~a. Is deemed by the student and parent/guardian on the advice of the principal to be in need of course work at a higher academic level than that available at the student's designated neighborhood school or school of choice;~~
- ~~b. Is deemed by school personnel to show a high degree of maturity and responsibility, especially with regard to potential for completing post-secondary courses;~~
- ~~c. Is deemed by school personnel to be in need of a different environment;~~
- ~~d. Has given two months written notice to the District specifying the courses in which the student intends to enroll;~~
- ~~e. Is enrolled in the District and considered at least part time in their designated neighborhood school or school of choice~~

Academic Credit

Academic credit granted for postsecondary courses ~~work~~ successfully completed by a **qualified** student ~~and approved for high school credit shall count~~ qualify as high school credit toward graduation requirements, ~~and as credit toward a degree or certificate at the institutions of higher learning. Advance approval from the school principal is required for the receipt of high school credit and will not be given for courses which do not meet or exceed the district's graduation requirements. Students who have satisfied district graduation requirements prior to enrollment in a postsecondary course may not receive high school credit for such course work. In the event a student is denied high school credit, the student may appeal to the Superintendent or designee and, ultimately, to the Board of Education. A student participating in this program shall still be considered as enrolled in the district and eligible for all high school activities.~~

High school credit shall be granted for postsecondary courses that meet or exceed the district's academic standards. High school credit may be denied for a postsecondary course substantially similar to a course offered by the district unless the qualified student's enrollment in the postsecondary course is approved due to reasons deemed legitimate by the district.

Concurrent enrollment is not available for summer school.

The program is not available for summer school.

Agreement with institution of higher education

When a **qualified** student **seeks to** enroll in **postsecondary** courses at an institution of higher education ~~for and to receive~~ high school credit **for such courses**, the District and the participating institution shall enter into a written cooperative agreement **in accordance with the Act**, ~~which shall include, but not be limited to, the requirement that the student or parent/guardian shall be reimbursed by the District for the amount of tuition paid by the student or parent/guardian for the first two courses taken in any one academic term upon successful completion of the courses. The agreement shall include statements that any courses taken by students under this program also shall qualify as credit toward earning a degree or certificate at the institution of higher education.~~

Payment of tuition by student

~~Except as noted below, the student or parent/guardian shall be responsible for paying the tuition associated with postsecondary courses taken by the student. The District shall reimburse the student or parent/guardian for tuition paid for the first two postsecondary courses taken by a student for high school credit in any one academic term upon receipt of proof that the student received a passing grade upon completion of the course. The District shall not reimburse the student or parent/guardian for tuition for courses not passed, for any courses in excess of two per academic term, or for courses voluntarily dropped without consent of the Principal. The student and/or parent/guardian shall pay the regular tuition charged by the institution of higher education for the third and each additional course per academic term.~~

~~Students are responsible for all other expenses related to enrollment in the postsecondary courses.~~

~~5. Payment of tuition by district~~

~~The District shall pay tuition for the first two courses per academic term for any student who meets the criteria for participation in a postsecondary program and who is eligible for free or reduced lunch. The District may pay tuition for the first two courses per academic term for any student if the payment of tuition would constitute a financial hardship for the student or parent/guardian and the student has shown evidence of responsibility for, and commitment to, successfully completing postsecondary courses.~~

The district shall pay the tuition for up to 60 credit hours of postsecondary courses successfully completed by a qualified student and for which the qualified student receives high school credit. A qualified student may enroll in no more than 15 credit hours of postsecondary courses per academic term, unless prior authorization has been obtained from the school principal or designee.

The tuition paid by the district for the qualified student's successful completion of an approved postsecondary course shall be in accordance with the Act and the district's cooperative agreement with the institution of higher education. The institution of higher education may charge additional tuition and/or associated fees to the qualified student or the student's parent/guardian in addition to the tuition paid by the district.

Prior to paying the tuition for any **qualified** student, the District shall require the student and **student's** parent/guardian to sign an agreement **stating to repay the amount of tuition paid by the District on the student's behalf** if the student fails **(with a final grade of D or F)** or otherwise does not complete the postsecondary course for any reason without consent of the principal of the **high** school in which the student is enrolled; **the student and/or the student's parent/guardian shall repay the amount of tuition paid by the District on the student's behalf.** ~~This contract shall be enforced by the District, and the student or parent/guardian shall be responsible for reimbursing the District as provided in the agreement.~~

Transportation Costs

The District shall not provide or pay for **the qualified student's** transportation to the institution of higher education.

~~Notice to students and parents/guardians~~

Information about **concurrent enrollment options** ~~the post-secondary options program, including the appeals procedure if high school credit is denied,~~ shall be **made available** ~~distributed annually to high school students and all students in grades nine through 12 and to their parents/guardians on an annual basis.~~ Notice shall be given to allow sufficient time for students and parents to consider this option.

~~6. Reports to State Board~~

~~The Board shall comply with all reporting requirements of the State Board of Education.~~

Fast track program

~~Any student who has completed the requirements for graduation may take one or more higher education courses during the senior year. The student shall remain eligible for sanctioned high school activities if meeting the academic and residency qualifications.~~

~~Tuition for higher education courses shall be paid by the District in accordance with the formula in law. The District shall not be responsible for the costs of transportation, room and board, fees, books, or equipment.~~

- Adopted: November 7, 1991
- Revised to conform with practice: date of manual revision
- Revised: August 4, 1994
- Revised: August 10, 2000
- Revised: January 8, 2004
- Revised: May 13, 2010
- **Revised: October 9, 2014**

LEGAL REFS:

- ***C.R.S. 22-32-109 (1)(nn) (discussion of the requirements for and benefits of concurrent enrollment must be part of ICAP process)***
- C.R.S. 22-35-101 *et seq.* (concurrent enrollment programs act)
- ***1 CCR 301-86 (State Board of Education rules regarding the Administration of the Concurrent Enrollment Program)***

CROSS REFS:

- **IHBK, Preparation for Postsecondary and Workforce Success**
- **IHCDA-R Concurrent Enrollment**
- **IHCDA-R-2 ASCENT (Accelerating Students through Concurrent Enrollment)**
- **IKCA Weighted Grading**
- **IJNDAB, Instruction through Online Courses**
- **IKF, Graduation Requirements**
- **JFC, Student Withdrawal from School/Dropouts**



BOARD-APPROVED POLICY OF DISTRICT 49

Title	Post-Secondary Options/Concurrent Enrollment
Designation	IHCDA-R-1
Office/Custodian	Education

~~Post-Secondary Options/Concurrent Enrollment~~

A student intending to enroll in ~~concurrent enrollment for the fall semester~~ a ~~post-secondary program~~ must ~~provide~~ give written notice to the high school principal or designee by February 6 prior to ~~the semester in which the student intends to concurrently enroll in postsecondary courses~~. A student intending to enroll in ~~concurrent enrollment for the spring semester~~ must ~~provide~~ written notice to the high school principal or designee by August 6 prior to ~~the semester in which the student intends to concurrently enroll in postsecondary courses~~. Concurrent Enrollment Student Request Forms are available at high school counseling offices.

~~The notice must include a description of all course work for which the student plans to enroll and will request high school graduation credit. The notice also must include a statement which explains the basis for the request to take course work at an institution of higher education.~~

The Principal or designee will determine whether the student is eligible for ~~concurrent enrollment based on academic and personal readiness~~. ~~the postsecondary program on the basis that the student shows a high degree of maturity and responsibility with regard to completion of postsecondary courses, is in need of course work at a higher academic level, or is in need of a different learning environment.~~ The Principal or designee may request a meeting with the student and family to discuss the student's eligibility for ~~the program~~ concurrent enrollment and may delay concurrent enrollment until the student is deemed college ready. The Principal or designee may also deny concurrent enrollment for a period of time if the student is unable to successfully complete postsecondary courses and the Principal or designee determines that additional support is necessary for successful completion of future concurrent enrollment courses.

Postsecondary concurrent enrollment courses requested by the student must align with the student's Individual Career & Academic Plan (ICAP). The Principal or designee must authorize concurrent enrollment course selections and must confirm course alignment with the student's ICAP. The student must meet the same course prerequisites and course expectations as noted in the current postsecondary course catalog and course syllabus. The student is expected to comply with the policies and procedures in the Student Code of Conduct of the Institution of Higher Education.

The student, parent, Principal, and concurrent enrollment designee must sign a Concurrent Enrollment Agreement (CEA) prior to postsecondary course registration each semester. All postsecondary course/schedule changes must be communicated in writing immediately and directly to the concurrent enrollment designee. All course/schedule changes must be updated on the CEA prior to the semester Drop Date at the Institution of Higher Education.

A record of postsecondary attendance for concurrent enrollment students is required; concurrent enrollment students may be required to complete and submit an attendance form, with postsecondary instructor signature, for each postsecondary course each semester.

If the student receives a final grade of "D" or "F," or withdraws from the postsecondary course after the Institution of Higher Education Drop Date, the student's parent or guardian may be required to

pay the school district for the postsecondary course tuition that was paid by the district on the student's behalf.

~~Once the eligibility of the student for the postsecondary program is established, the Principal or designee will determine if the requested courses are appropriate for high school graduation credit. Credit will be denied for courses which do not meet graduation requirements in subject content or grade.~~

If the Principal or designee denies credit toward graduation for any of the requested courses, the student will be notified in writing of the reason within 10 working days of receipt of the enrollment notice. The student will have the ability at that time to appeal to the Chief Education Officer or designee.

If the student decides to appeal to the Chief Education Officer or designee, the appeal must be filed in the Chief Education Officer's office within 10 working days after receiving notice of denial of credit.

The Chief Education Officer or designee must notify the student in writing of his/her decision within 30 working days of the filing of the appeal. The decision of the Chief Education Officer regarding approval or denial of high school credit will be final.

Adopted: November 7, 1991

Revised to conform with practice: date of manual revision

Revised: August 4, 1994

Revised: August 10, 2000

Revised: May 13, 2010

Revised: October 27, 2011

Revised: October 9, 2014

LEGAL REF:

- 1 CCR 301-81 (*State Board of Education Rules Governing Standards for Individual Career and Academic Plans*)
- 1 CCR 301-86 (*State Board of Education Rules for the Administration of the Concurrent Enrollment Program*)
- C.R.S. 22-35-101 Concurrent Enrollment Programs Act

CROSS REF:

- IKF, Graduation Requirements
- IHCD-A Concurrent Enrollment
- IHCD-A-2 ASCENT
- IKCA Weighted Grading
- IHBK Preparation for Postsecondary and Workforce Success



BOARD-APPROVED POLICY OF DISTRICT 49

Title	ASCENT (Accelerating Students through Concurrent Enrollment)
Designation	IHCDA-R-2
Office/Custodian	Education

ASCENT is concurrent enrollment that extends the opportunity for postsecondary enrollment one year beyond the 12th grade year for qualified applicants.

Students who wish to enroll in ASCENT must:

1. be on track to complete all high school graduation requirements by the end of the 12th grade year;
2. complete 12 college credits of transcribed postsecondary coursework prior to the completion of the 12th grade year (this postsecondary coursework does not include International Baccalaureate (IB), Advanced Placement (AP), or College Level Education Program (CLEP) credits);
3. be identified by the Principal or designee as a qualified ASCENT candidate with a current/updated ICAP (Individual Career & Academic Plan);
4. be less than 21 years of age;
5. be accepted into a postsecondary degree/certification program;
6. not have previously participated in ASCENT;
7. not have been a retained 5th year senior in previous year;
8. satisfy the minimum prerequisites for ASCENT postsecondary courses
9. require no remediation for postsecondary courses.

ASCENT students will be selected by the Principal or designee based on the number of allocated ASCENT slots, students' course completion history of postsecondary courses, and other academic and personal readiness factors. The Principal or designee will confirm ASCENT selections no later than February 1 of the student's 12th grade year.

ASCENT postsecondary concurrent enrollment courses requested by the student must align with the student's Individual Career & Academic Plan (ICAP) and degree plan or professional certification course requirements. The Principal or designee must authorize concurrent enrollment course selections and confirm course alignment with the student's ICAP. The student must meet the same course prerequisites and course expectations as noted in the current postsecondary course catalog and course syllabus. The student is expected to comply with the policies and procedures in the Student Code of Conduct of the Institution of Higher Education.

Full-time ASCENT students must enroll in at least 12 postsecondary credits each semester of the ASCENT year. Part-time ASCENT students must enroll in 3-11 postsecondary credits each semester of the ASCENT year. High school diplomas will be retained until the end of the ASCENT year and will be dated the end of the ASCENT year.

The student, parent, Principal, and concurrent enrollment designee must sign an ASCENT Concurrent Enrollment Agreement prior to postsecondary course registration each semester. All course/schedule changes must be updated on the ASCENT Concurrent

Enrollment Agreement prior to the Institution of Higher Education Drop Date.

A record of postsecondary attendance for ASCENT concurrent enrollment is required; ASCENT students may be required to complete and submit an attendance form, with postsecondary instructor signature, for each postsecondary course, each semester of the ASCENT year.

If the student receives a final grade of “D” or “F,” or withdraws from the postsecondary course after the Institution of Higher Education Drop Date, the student’s parent or guardian may be required to pay the school district for the postsecondary course tuition that was paid by the district on the student’s behalf.

If the Principal or designee denies credit toward graduation for any of the requested courses, the student will be notified in writing of the reason within 10 working days of receipt of the enrollment notice. The student will have the ability at that time to appeal to the Chief Education Officer or designee.

If the student decides to appeal to the Chief Education Officer or designee, the appeal must be filed in the Chief Education Officer’s office within 10 working days after receiving notice of denial of credit.

The Chief Education Officer or designee must notify the student in writing of his/her decision within 30 working days of the filing of the appeal. The decision of the Chief Education Officer regarding approval or denial of high school credit will be final.

- Adopted: October 9, 2014

LEGAL REF:

- 1 CCR 301-81 (*State Board of Education Rules Governing Standards for Individual Career and Academic Plans*)
- 1 CCR 301-86 (*State Board of Education Rules for the Administration of the Concurrent Enrollment Program*)
- C.R.S. 22-35-101 Concurrent Enrollment Programs Act

CROSS REF:

- IHCD-A Concurrent Enrollment
- IKF Graduation Requirements
- IHBK Preparation for Postsecondary and Workforce Success
- IKCA Weighted Grading



BOARD-APPROVED POLICY OF DISTRICT 49

Title	Weighted Grading
Designation	IKCA
Office/Custodian	Education/Director of Concurrent Enrollment

The Board of Education believes that all high school students should pursue the most challenging and rigorous course of instruction which their individual skills and abilities will allow them to master. At the same time, the Board believes that students who are engaged in the most demanding course work offered in the curriculum should be recognized in a manner which makes them highly competitive with their peers for admission to selective colleges and universities and for scholarships and financial aid.

The term “weighted grading” is used to describe the process of assigning additional strength or numerical value to a grade which a student earns in certain courses designated as “weighted” courses. This additional numerical value will be used to compute a student’s grade point average (GPA) and class rank. Courses selected for weighting are those which are determined to be rigorous, require prerequisites, and are considered as preparation courses for college.

All Advanced Placement (AP), ~~college level Concurrent Enrollment~~, CU Succeed, and International Baccalaureate (IB) ~~courses~~, and college level Concurrent Enrollment courses will be given credit on a 5.0 weighted grade scale, with the exception of the following college courses:

1. Academic Achievement Skills (AAA)
2. Physical Education (PED)
3. Outdoor Studies (OUT)
4. Any Developmental Level course (ex: CCR092/094, ENG090, MAT050/055)

Designated honors courses will be given credit on a 4.5 weighted grading scale. Any student taking a weighted class who does not earn a passing grade will not be awarded class credit.

Students, who transfer into the District with credit in courses that meet the stipulations outlined, will have their transfer grades adjusted to the appropriate weighted grade scale.

All courses approved for weighted grades will follow a District approved curriculum and require the course final exam. Honors courses will be weighted once the curriculum has been developed to meet specific standards.

- Adopted: April 4, 2002
- Reviewed: July 8, 2010
- Revised: February 2, 2012
- Revised: April 8, 2012
- Revised: February 13, 2014
- Revised: October 9, 2014

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.05

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Paul Andersen, Director of Human Resources and Peter Hiltz, Chief Education Officer
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Stakeholder Grievance Policy KEA, KEA-R and KEA-E
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: The chief officers propose the adoption of a new policy that gives parents and community members' access to a grievance process. The new policy, regulation and exhibits build on the existing employee grievance policy (GBK) and provide a clear and well-documented process for presenting and seeking resolution to grievances.

RATIONALE: In our work toward becoming the best district in Colorado to learn, work and lead, we are striving to be more intentional about connecting with stakeholders in tangible, sincere and effective ways. To that end, we have an opportunity to foster open communication with stakeholders through a clear stakeholder grievance system. While direct communication will remain the preferred method of resolving disagreement, this policy will help facilitate resolution, foster open communication, and clarify accountability for the parties involved.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	<i>An effective stakeholder grievance process will serve to strengthen trust with our stakeholders, foster intentional stakeholder participation and support our goal to become the best district to learn, work and lead.</i>
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED:

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move to approve policies in item 7.05 as recommended by the administration.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hiltz, CEO; Brett Ridgway, CBO; Jack Bay, COO

DATE: September 24, 2014



BOARD-APPROVED POLICY OF DISTRICT 49

Title	Stakeholder Grievance
Designation	KEA
Office/Custodian	Education Office/Chief Education Officer

District 49 values all its stakeholders, including students, parents, staff and community members, and endeavors to earn their trust. The District seeks to serve all stakeholders with fairness and respect.

The District recognizes that there will be times when stakeholders disagree with a classroom or administrative decision and sincerely desires to partner with stakeholder in resolving issues, concerns or grievances. To that end, the Board of Education has established this stakeholder grievance policy as a formal process by which stakeholders may present and seek resolution to problems, issues, or concerns (generally referred to in this policy and procedure as “grievances”) related to matters of policy.

This policy does not modify, delegate, limit, or extend the rights of the school board to act or make decisions under applicable state and federal law.

Access to the grievance policy

The grievance ~~policy~~ **process** is available to parents or those with custodial rights of District 49 students, to residents of District 49 and to full-time employees of District 49, **who will be referred to as a “concerned party” for purposes of this policy and regulations.**

What may be grieved

The Board established this grievance policy for resolution of grievances that involve one or more Board policies. It does not exist to resolve simple disagreements, personality differences or disputes over– **a classroom teacher’s or** an administrator’s legitimate decision or actions. Staff may not use the grievance process to dispute a performance evaluation or corrective action.

In bringing a grievance forward for resolution, the stakeholder will be required to specify the policy in question and whether s/he:

- ~~1. Believes-Alleges~~ the policy was violated; **or**
- ~~+2.~~**Alleges that the policy was misapplied or inequitably applied; or**
- ~~2-3.~~Is requesting that the policy be changed; or
- ~~3-4.~~Is requesting that an exception to policy be made.

Regulations and exhibits

District administration will develop regulations to implement this policy. The regulations will strive to accomplish the following goals:

- Encourage resolution at the lowest possible level.
- Ensure a well-documented process.
- Specify time frames for the filing of and responding to grievances.
- Provide a clear process for appealing a decision to the next level of review.
- Provide general guidelines for the grounds upon which a person might base an appeal.

District administration shall provide a feedback form to be completed by the stakeholder once there is complete resolution at any level to a grievance. The results of these feedback forms will be tracked. The Chief Education Officer will provide a report to the Board of Education on a semi-annual basis.

The staff, administrators and Board will not entertain complaints about staff members that have not been

formally addressed using the appropriate grievance forms and appeals procedures. In order to satisfactorily address each grievance and to avoid convoluting the issues, stakeholders must address them separately in writing, beginning with the appropriate grievance form. If, while working through the grievance process, a stakeholder becomes concerned with the behavior or conduct of a District staff member, that concern then becomes a new matter for resolution, which must be addressed as a completely separate grievance.

The regulations, exhibits and forms associated with this policy shall be made available on the District website or in paper format upon request.

Urgent matters

While the grievance procedures designate timelines and sequence for raising concerns and receiving feedback, if a concern involves imminent danger to a student, staff member, or other member of our community, or if there is an urgent need for immediate resolution to the matter, the stakeholder is expected to immediately notify a District administrator regarding the matter and request a review by a chief officer **or his designee**.

If the chief officer **or his designee** determines that the concern does not involve imminent danger, the stakeholder will be directed back to the procedures described herein.

Timelines

The District desires to respond to grievances in a timely manner. The timelines established in the associated regulations and exhibit, at the discretion of the staff member designated at each level, may be altered to allow for an appropriately thorough review of the grievance. **Any needed time extensions should be communicated to the concerned party as soon as practicable.**

Independent review mechanism

At the outset of a grievance, a process leader will be assigned to facilitate the process when needed. When an employee files a grievance, the Coordinator of Cultural Capacity will serve as the process leader. When the grievance is initiated by any other stakeholder, the Director of Human Resources will serve as the process leader.

A chief officer or the Board of Education may request an internal review of a grievance. When this happens, the Chief Education Officer will direct either the Director of Human Resources or the Coordinator of Cultural Capacity to perform a review of the particular grievance matter and provide a report to the requestor.

Recordkeeping

The District shall maintain records associated with grievance proceedings for a minimum of three (3) years.

Good faith efforts

It is inevitable that conflicts will occur. How the parties handle conflict plays a major role in defining the culture of our district. When adults work together to resolve conflicts with civility, they model skills for students that will enable them to effectively resolve conflict.

The District expects all stakeholders to treat others with respect and courtesy, and to strive for the following with regard to grievance resolution:

1. Seek to address issues at the appropriate level. For example, a concern about the classroom should be addressed first with the teacher. School-wide issues should be addressed with the school principal.
2. While the District seeks to support and assist individual families, recognize that all decisions must factor in the impact on other students and staff.
3. Understand that the District expects school administrators to make judgment calls. Generally speaking, judgment calls will not be overturned at a higher level unless there are compelling grounds based on policy issues.

4. Accept that privacy laws or other confidentiality requirements may expressly prohibit the administration from disclosing discipline measures taken with staff or with other students. The District recognizes that this limitation on open communication can be very frustrating for both parents and administrators.

- Adopted: ~~September~~ **October 9~~11~~**, 2014



BOARD-APPROVED POLICY OF DISTRICT 49

Title	Stakeholder Grievance
Designation	KEA-R
Office/Custodian	Education Office/Chief Education Officer

The conflict resolution procedures contained herein are intended to provide a clear, well-defined means of articulating and bringing forth concerns.

Classroom Level Procedure

If you have a concern, you should set up a meeting with the classroom teacher or appropriate individual with the goal of resolving the matter informally. Communication is essential to resolving concerns and conflicts. It is important that the classroom teacher or appropriate individual understands your concern so they may fully address and resolve it. It is important to explain your concerns, the resolution you are seeking and your suggested remedy.

The classroom teacher or appropriate individual shall provide you with their decision within three working days of being notified that there is a concern. This may occur over the phone, face to face or via email. **If more time is needed to appropriately address the concern, the concerned party will be notified of the needed extension as soon as practicable.**

Admin Level I: School Administrator

If the concern is not resolved to your satisfaction, you may submit a **Grievance Conflict-Resolution Request (€GRR)** Form within five working days of receipt of the Classroom Level decision. The ~~CRR-GRR~~ can be accessed on the District web site. Fill out the form thoroughly.

The school administrator **or his/her designee** will review the €GRR and contact you to arrange a meeting. The purpose of this meeting is to gain a full understanding of the situation. The staff member who provided the decision at the Classroom Level will be invited to attend the meeting. The staff member may opt to not attend.

The school administrator or his/her designee will contact you within three business days to schedule a meeting. Within 5 days after the meeting the administrator shall communicate the decision. ~~Within five working days after receiving your written concern, the school administrator shall communicate to you his/her written decision.~~ This decision shall include the school administrator's findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

Admin Level II: Zone Leader/Executive Director Level Procedure

If you are not satisfied after receiving the School Administrator's written decision, you may appeal the matter to the Zone Leader/Executive Director Level. To do this, you will need to initiate **an appeal using the online GRR form.** ~~new CRR.~~

The Zone Leader/Executive Director **or his/her designee will contact you within three business days to schedule a meeting.** will review the €GRR and contact you to set up a meeting. The purpose of this meeting is to gain a full understanding of the situation. The staff members involved in the previous levels will be invited to attend this meeting.

Within five working days after **the meeting** ~~receiving your written concern~~, the Zone Leader/Executive Director **or his/her designee** shall communicate to you his/her written decision. This decision shall include the Zone Leader's/Executive Director's findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

Admin Level III: Chief Officer Level Procedure

If you are not satisfied after receiving the Zone Leader's/Executive Director's **decision**, you may appeal the matter to the Chief Officer. To do this, you will need to initiate a new **EGRR**. The appeal shall include the decisions from all previous levels. The Chief Officer may choose select a designee to hear the matter.

After an appropriate review of the **EGRR** and previous decisions, the Chief Officer will within ten working days of receipt of the appeal contact you to arrange a meeting.

The meeting will include the staff members who provided the decisions at the previous levels and the Chief Officer or his/her designee. The meeting shall be limited to those grounds specified in the written concern signed by the stakeholder.

Within ten working days of the hearing meeting, the Chief Officer or his/her designee shall communicate his/her decision to you in writing. The decision shall include the findings and conclusions of the Chief Officer or his/her designee.

Board Level Procedure

If you are not satisfied with the decision at the Chief Officer Level, you may, within five working days, submit **at GRR to your concern to the Board of Education** and request a hearing before the Board.


The Board president or his/her designee **may attempt to work directly with** ~~has the opportunity to work with the stakeholder~~ to resolve the conflict prior to an official appeal to the entire Board of Education.

The Board shall respond to the request for a hearing no later than its next regularly scheduled meeting, provided it has received the request at least five working days prior to such meeting. If the Board agrees to hear the appeal, the hearing shall be held no later than the next regularly scheduled meeting. The hearing will include the staff members who provided decisions at any previous levels (unless a staff member opts to not attend). The meeting shall be limited to those grounds specified in the written concern signed by the stakeholder.

The Board shall render its written decision not later than fifteen working days after hearing the appeal. The Board may agree not to hear the appeal. In such instances, the decision from the Chief Officer Level shall be final.

- Adopted: September 11, 2014

Stakeholder Grievance Policy
Designation: KEA – E
Office/Custodian: Education Office/Chief Education Officer



Grievance Initiation Form

This form must be submitted within five (5) working days within the receipt of the decision from the teacher or your supervisor. If you have not attempted to resolve this concern by speaking directly with the teacher or your supervisor, please do so before you initiate this form.

STAKEHOLDER INFORMATION

Name of Person Initiating Grievance: _____ Date: _____

Email Address: _____ Reenter Email: _____

Relationship to District **(drop down menu)**

☐ Parent/Guardian

☐ Employee

☐ Community Member

☐ Other – Specify: _____

If grievance involves a student matter, please provide the name of student: _____

Specify School **(drop down menu)**

INITIAL LEVEL

Provide a description of your grievance or concern, including dates: _____

If your grievance relates to a Board or school policy or regulation, please specify the policy, regulation or procedure: _____

Describe the resolution you are seeking: _____

Please tell us about the steps you have already taken to resolve your concern:

I talked/met with the teacher/my supervisor: Yes No

Date talked/met with the teacher/my supervisor: _____

Basis for Claim and Relief Sought – explain your dispute with the response from the teacher or your supervisor:

Do you dispute the policy? Yes No

Do you dispute the facts? Yes No

Do you dispute how the policy was applied with the facts? Yes No

Click “Submit” to initiate your grievance. Thank you for using District 49’s grievance process. A District leader will contact you in the near future.

When the Stakeholder clicks “Submit”

1. System assigns reference number to the grievance
2. System sends email confirmation to Stakeholder
3. System notifies “Process Facilitators” (Director of Human Resources and Coordinator of Cultural Capacity)

ADMINISTRATIVE LEVELS

Throughout each of the administrative levels, the Stakeholder must submit an appeal through the grievance management system. The Process Facilitator will assist the Stakeholder as needed.

At each of the administrative levels, the Grievance Reviewer provides the written decision to the Stakeholder and the Process Facilitator. The Process Facilitator ensures that each written decision is uploaded to the grievance file.

Whenever the Stakeholder appeals the matter to the next level in the process, the system notifies the Process Facilitator and the next level Grievance Reviewer.

BOARD OF EDUCATION LEVEL

When a grievance reaches the Board of Education level, the Stakeholder finds the following message:

At this point in the process, it is important to be clear that the Board of Education desires to empower our principals, zone leaders and chief officers to make judgment calls and decisions regarding the operation of schools and departments.

Before appealing a grievance to the Board of Education, you must first attempt to resolve the matter at the appropriate administrative levels. If you have not already done that, please do so. If you are attempting to bypass the administrative levels in the grievance resolution process, you must provide an explanation for the Board’s consideration.

Please help our Board understand why you feel that the Board should intervene in this particular issue:

Specify your grounds for escalation to the Board (check all that apply):

- ☐ Does the Chief Officer endorse this appeal in order to promote a change or request clarification from the Board?
- ☐ Do you request that the Board permanently change a school policy?
- ☐ Do you request that an exception be made to a school policy? Explain the justification below.
- ☐ Do you allege that a conflict of interest exists with the Chief Officer’s decision?
- ☐ Do you allege a violation of the law or Board policy? Explain.
- ☐ Do you allege that a judgment call grossly violated the District’s mission and values?

STAKEHOLDER FEEDBACK

When a grievance is resolved, the Process Facilitator will invite the Stakeholder to provide feedback about the process. The invitation will include a link to a web form. The Stakeholder submits the completed survey online. The system sends the completed form to the Process Facilitators.

Grievance Process Feedback Survey

In an effort to help District 49 improve our culture and processes, please complete the following survey once you have reached satisfactory resolution of your concern. Please complete this feedback form and click “submit” at the end of the survey.

Name: _____

Email Address: _____

Grievance Reference Number: _____

Date: _____

Would you be willing to speak with a District 49 administrator in the event that questions arise based on your responses below?

Yes No

Please select your response to the questions below using the following scale:

0=N/A; 1=Extremely Dissatisfied; 2=Dissatisfied; 3=Indifferent; 4=Satisfied; 5=Extremely Satisfied

1. How satisfied are you with the level of service you received during the grievance resolution process at the:
 - a. Classroom level?
 - b. School administrative level?
 - c. Zone leader/executive director level?
 - d. Chief officer level?
 - e. Board level?
2. How satisfied are you with the grievance resolution process overall?
3. How satisfied are you with the timeframes in which your concern was handled?
4. How satisfied are you with the level of communication used throughout the grievance resolution process?
5. **(Free response text box.)** How could District 49 improve the grievance resolution process?

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.06

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Kim McClelland
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Policy DIA, Online Schools and Online Programs
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: On August 13, 2014, the Colorado State Board of Education passed a set of emergency rules updating rules pertaining to online education so that they align with changes to statute that resulted from HB 14-1382 (see attached).

On or about September 3, 2014 the Colorado Department of Education made available a form (see attached) which requires that online school authorizers adopt alternative documentation policies which track “student enrollment, attendance, and participation,” signed by the Chief Financial Officer and Superintendent, along with a copy of the board approved policies, to the CDE Blended and Online Learning Office no later than September 22, 2014.

RATIONALE: As Falcon School District 49 includes schools and programs that provide blended learning and differentiated instruction for students, including online instruction, this policy addresses the types of documentation the district may utilize as proof of a student’s enrollment and attendance in any district online school or online program.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Additional count documentation may be in addition to or as a substitute to any student management system login for the district’s on-line schools and programs. All such documentation shall be used to determine whether the student is enrolled in a district online school or program on a part-time or full-time basis, in accordance with applicable state law. Supporting documentation includes: CDE Response to Emergency Rules, Online Assurance Form

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT’S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best</u> <u>district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Falcon school district is a leader of online learning and continues to innovate in terms of demonstrating online student active participation.
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: None

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move to approve policy DIA as recommended by the administration.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, Chief Education Officer

DATE: September 24, 2014



BOARD-APPROVED POLICY OF DISTRICT 49

Title	Online Schools and Online Programs (Permissible Documentation)
Designation	DIA
Office/Custodian	Education/iConnect Zone Leader

The district includes schools and programs that provide blended learning and differentiated instruction for students, including online instruction. This policy addresses the types of documentation the district may utilize as proof of a student's enrollment and attendance in any district **or district charter** online school or online program.

In accordance with applicable state law, the following forms of documentation are acceptable for purposes of tracking a student's enrollment, attendance and participation in educational activities to support student learning in any district **or district charter** online school or online program:

- Assessment
- Orientation and induction activities
- In-person educational instruction
- Synchronous and asynchronous Internet-based educational activities
- Field trips
- Concurrent enrollment
- Work study
- Peer mentoring; and
- Internship hours or similar forms of instruction

Documentation of the above-mentioned educational activities may be in addition to or as a substitute to any student management system login for the district's online schools and programs. All such documentation shall be used to determine whether the student is enrolled in a district **or district charter** online school or program on a part-time or full-time basis, in accordance with applicable state law.

- **Adopted: September 11, 2014 (temporary approval)**
- **Adopted/Revised: October 9, 2014**

LEGAL REFS:

- C.R.S. 22-30.7-105(2)(a) (online programs and online schools must document student attendance and participation in educational activities)
- C.R.S. 22-54-104 (district total program requirements, including pupil count)
- 1 CCR 301-39 (Rules for the Administration of the Public School Finance Act of 1994)
- 1 CCR 301-71, Rule 8.0 (process for documenting students enrolled in an online program or online school)



BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.07a

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Tammy Harold
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Resolution of Support for Ballot Issue 3A
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: After an extensive community process involving members of every school family, the larger district community, staff and elected officials, the Board of Education submitted ballot language to seek community approval of Issue 3A.

RATIONALE: Issue 3A will provide operating revenue to continue District 49's drive to become the best district to learn, work, and lead.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: The issue does not raise taxes or create any new taxes, but it permits the district to use existing revenues for critical needs such as teacher compensation, core classes, school security, and instructional technology.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	District 49 has worked hard to become fiscally sound and efficient. Issue 3A give our community a chance to affirm that District 49 is reestablishing trust.
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	The extended capital planning process led to a healthy and vigorous debate about the best future for District 49. The entire community was invited, and thousands participated in school-level, board, tele-town hall, and other meetings to discuss this proposal.
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Issue 3A will help District 49 compete with other districts in El Paso County as we create great learning, enhance productive workplaces, and lead the way in public education.
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	The mill levy money will fund programs to boost elementary literacy, vocational and professional training in secondary, and free college credits for students in high school.
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	With new state graduation guidelines taking effect this year, the resources from Issue 3A will give our staff the time to lead each student on an individualized pathway to college and career success.

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move to approve the following:

A Resolution in Support of El Paso County Ballot Issue 3A (Mill Levy)

WHEREAS, 3A continues an existing mill levy without raising existing taxes or creating any new taxes; and

WHEREAS, 3A will allow District 49 to attract and retain excellent, highly effective teachers by offering a salary and benefits package that are competitive with other school districts in El Paso County; and



BOE Regular Meeting October 9, 2014
Item 7.09a continued

WHEREAS, 3A will allow District 49 to nurture individual passions of each student on a journey of academic excellence in preparing for college and the workforce by offering classes to earn practical, vocational industry certification; and

WHEREAS, 3A will allow District 49 to focus on each student's individualized path to achieving academic excellence in preparing for college and career by offering classes to earn free college credit while in high school; and

WHEREAS, 3A will enhance multiple security measures district-wide, including securing perimeters, main entries and classrooms and providing safety training for personnel; and

WHEREAS, 3A will update technology in district classrooms to accelerate academic achievement; and

WHEREAS, a strong school district retains property and home values for residents;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the Board of Directors of School District 49 support ballot issue 3A and strongly urge the residents of District 49 to vote yes for the measure in November.

APPROVED BY: Chief Officers

DATE: September 24, 2014



The
Best District
to Learn, Work and Lead

10850 East Woodmen Road • Peyton, CO 80831
Tel: 719.495.1100 • Fax: 719.494.8900

A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF EL PASO COUNTY BALLOT ISSUE 3A (MILL LEVY)

- WHEREAS, 3A continues an existing mill levy without raising existing taxes or creating any new taxes; and
- WHEREAS, 3A will allow District 49 to attract and retain excellent, highly effective teachers by offering a salary and benefits package that are competitive with other school districts in El Paso County; and
- WHEREAS, 3A will allow District 49 to nurture individual passions of each student on a journey of academic excellence in preparing for college and the workforce by offering classes to earn practical, vocational industry certification; and
- WHEREAS, 3A will allow District 49 to focus on each student's individualized path to achieving academic excellence in preparing for college and career by offering classes to earn free college credit while in high school; and
- WHEREAS, 3A will enhance multiple security measures district-wide, including securing perimeters, main entries and classrooms and providing safety training for personnel; and
- WHEREAS, 3A will update technology in district classrooms to accelerate academic achievement; and
- WHEREAS, a strong school district retains property and home values for residents;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED

that the Board of Directors of School District 49 support ballot issue 3A and strongly urge the residents of District 49 to vote yes for the measure in November.

Adopted this 9th day of October, 2014 by SCHOOL DISTRICT 49

By:

Tammy Harold
President, Board of Education

Attest By:

Marie Lavere-Wright
Secretary, Board of Education

Peter Hilts
Chief Education Officer

Brett Ridgway
Chief Business Officer

Jack Bay
Chief Operations Officer

Monty Lammers
Falcon Zone Leader

Kim McClelland
iConnect Zone Leader

Mike Pickering
POWER Zone Leader

Sean Dorsey
Sand Creek Zone Leader



BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 7.07b

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Tammy Harold
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Resolution of Support for Ballot Issue 3B
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Action

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED: After an extensive community process involving members of every school family, the larger district community, staff and elected officials, the Board of Education submitted ballot language to seek community approval of Issue 3B.

RATIONALE: Issue 3B will provide capital revenue to continue District 49's deliberate plan to take care of the schools we have and build the precise mix of new schools we need to serve current and future growth.

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES: Because we have been so frugal with our resources and the capital planning committee has limited this proposal to only essential capital projects, we are able to make significant upgrades to the district's capacity for only \$1 per \$100,000 of home value. The savings to our taxpayers are two to five times more valuable than other district proposals around Colorado.

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	District 49 has worked hard to become fiscally sound and efficient. Issue 3B give our community a chance to affirm the efficiency of our capital plans while growing our capacity for the future.
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	The extended capital planning process led to a healthy and vigorous debate about the best future for District 49. The entire community was invited, and thousands participated in school-level, board, tele-town hall, and other meetings to discuss this proposal.
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	Issue 3B will take care of what we have by expanding and modernizing Horizon Middle and Falcon Elementary schools, along with expansions at all three neighborhood high schools. Along with new schools, these projects will create great places to learn, the best working environment, and establish our community as a leader in public education.
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	The capital bond monies will fund essential improvements, acquisitions, and construction in the areas of our district that have badly outgrown existing neighborhood school facilities.
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	The specialized spaces at our high schools will host programs that launch every student to success—in college, in the workforce, in military service, ministry, or community life.

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Move to approve the following:

A Resolution in Support of El Paso County Ballot Issue 3B (School Bond)



BOE Regular Meeting October 9, 2014
Item 7.09b continued

WHEREAS, the student population of District 49 has grown 76.77% since 2005, the last time new funds were approved for building construction; and

WHEREAS, the citizen-led Capital Improvement Committee carefully studied District 49's capital needs and made recommendations to the Board of Education based on the most immediate needs of the district; and

WHEREAS, District 49 appreciates the tax-sensitivity of our residents and strives to remain a trustworthy steward of taxpayer funds; and

WHEREAS, 3B will take care of what we have by modernizing and expanding six existing neighborhood schools to extend their useful life; and

WHEREAS, 3B will create three new excellent neighborhood schools, two elementary and one middle, will reduce the number of students currently being taught in modular units and reduce the number of students currently being displaced outside their neighborhood to attend other schools; and

WHEREAS, 3B will allow District 49 to focus on each student's individualized path to academic excellence in preparing for college and the workforce by creating specialized spaces to expand advanced vocational programs and support science, engineering, technology and mathematics education; and

WHEREAS, a citizen's oversight committee will provide accountability by monitoring how the funds generated by 3B are spent and will provide an annual audit on the district website; and

WHEREAS, all funds will directly benefit our students, with no funds being spent on administration; and

WHEREAS, a strong school district retains property and home values for residents;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the Board of Directors of School District 49 support ballot issue 3B and strongly urge the residents of District 49 to vote yes for the measure in November.

APPROVED BY: Chief Officers

DATE: September 24, 2014



The
Best District
to Learn, Work and Lead

10850 East Woodmen Road • Peyton, CO 80831
Tel: 719.495.1100 • Fax: 719.494.8900

A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF EL PASO COUNTY BALLOT ISSUE 3B (SCHOOL BOND)

- WHEREAS, the student population of District 49 has grown 76.77% since 2005, the last time new funds were approved for building construction; and
- WHEREAS, the citizen-led Capital Improvement Committee carefully studied District 49's capital needs and made recommendations to the Board of Education based on the most immediate needs of the district; and
- WHEREAS, District 49 appreciates the tax-sensitivity of our residents and strives to remain a trustworthy steward of taxpayer funds; and
- WHEREAS, 3B will take care of what we have by modernizing and expanding six existing neighborhood schools to extend their useful life; and
- WHEREAS, 3B will create three new excellent neighborhood schools, two elementary and one middle, will reduce the number of students currently being taught in modular units and reduce the number of students currently being displaced outside their neighborhood to attend other schools; and
- WHEREAS, 3B will allow District 49 to focus on each student's individualized path to academic excellence in preparing for college and the workforce by creating specialized spaces to expand advanced vocational programs and support science, engineering, technology and mathematics education; and
- WHEREAS, a citizen's oversight committee will provide accountability by monitoring how the funds generated by 3B are spent and will provide an annual audit on the district website; and
- WHEREAS, all funds will directly benefit our students, with no funds being spent on administration; and
- WHEREAS, a strong school district retains property and home values for residents;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED

that the Board of Directors of School District 49 support ballot issue 3B and strongly urge the residents of District 49 to vote yes for the measure in November.

Adopted this 9th day of October, 2014 by SCHOOL DISTRICT 49

By:

Attest By:

Tammy Harold
President, Board of Education

Marie Lavere-Wright
Secretary, Board of Education

Peter Hilts
Chief Education Officer

Brett Ridgway
Chief Business Officer

Jack Bay
Chief Operations Officer

Monty Lammers
Falcon Zone Leader

Kim McClelland
iConnect Zone Leader

Mike Pickering
POWER Zone Leader

Sean Dorsey
Sand Creek Zone Leader

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 8.01

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Barbara Seeley
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Student Study Trips
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Information

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED:

Travel Study Trip request:

VRHS

FCCLA Fall Leadership conference in Denver, CO

Departure-9/29/14 Return-9/30/14

5 students will attend this trip.

Trip costs include lodging, meals, conference registration and transportation.

This is a leadership development/student organization for family & consumer science.

Fundraising will not be part of this program.

RATIONALE:

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best</u> <u>district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: N/A

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, Chief Education Officer

DATE: September 26, 2014



BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 9.01

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Sean Dorsey
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Sand Creek Zone Update
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Information

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED:

RATIONALE: Provide the Board of Education and District leadership with an update regarding the key initiatives of the Sand Creek Zone

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	<u>X</u>
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best district</u> in Colorado to learn, work and lead	<u>X</u>
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	<u>X</u>
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	<u>X</u>

FUNDING REQUIRED: N/A

AMOUNT BUDGETED: N/A

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: Information item

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, Chief Education Officer

DATE: September 26, 2014

Sand Creek Zone Update

October 9, 2014

Sand Creek High School

- School-wide literacy initiative
- Tier 1 and 2 interventions
- Additional Counseling Center staff
- Scholastic Scorps
- Double-dip
- Common planning time
- Freshman Academy

Horizon Middle School

- International Baccalaureate → Next Chapter
- Spanish and Visual Arts
- Report card
- Collaborative planning
- Renaissance
- Conceptual teaching and learning
- IB assessment criteria
- Bell schedule adjustment
- PRIDE intervention block

Evans International Elementary

- EngageNY Math
- Differentiated instruction
- Professional learning communities
- School-wide core reading program
- School-wide reading Student Learning Objective
- Independent reading model
- Additional staffing to meet the literacy needs of learners

Sand Creek Zone

- Kids' Corner
- Intensive Learning Teams
- Model Classroom Project
- Leverage Leadership
- SchoolWorks
- MakerSpace

BOARD OF EDUCATION AGENDA ITEM 10.01

BOARD MEETING OF:	October 9, 2014
PREPARED BY:	Tammy Harold, Board of Education President
TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:	Executive Session: Pursuant to C.R.S. 24-6-402(4)(f)(I) for discussion of performance of a specific staff member with prior written notification
ACTION/INFORMATION/DISCUSSION:	Discussion

BACKGROUND INFORMATION, DESCRIPTION OF NEED:

RATIONALE:

RELEVANT DATA AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

IMPACTS ON THE DISTRICT'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES—THE BIG ROCKS:

Rock #1 —Reestablishing the district as a <u>trustworthy</u> recipient of taxpayer investment	
Rock #2 —Research, design and implement programs for intentional <u>community</u> participation	
Rock #3 — Establish District 49 as the <u>best</u> district in Colorado to learn, work and lead	
Rock #4 — Grow a robust portfolio of distinct and exceptional schools	
Rock #5 — Customize our educational systems to launch each student toward success	

FUNDING REQUIRED: No

AMOUNT BUDGETED:

RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION/MOTION REQUESTED: I move to go into Executive Session pursuant to C.R.S. 24-6-402(4)(f)(I) for discussion of performance of a specific staff member with prior written notification for the evaluation and review of the Chief Operations Officer.

APPROVED BY: Peter Hilts, CEO

DATE: September 24, 2014